



PUBLISHED FOR SISSA BY SPRINGER

RECEIVED: December 20, 2013

ACCEPTED: January 18, 2014

PUBLISHED: February 12, 2014

Three-dimensional fractional-spin gravity

Nicolas Boulanger,^a Per Sundell^b and Mauricio Valenzuela^{a,c}

^a*Service de Mécanique et Gravitation, Université de Mons – UMONS,
Mons, Belgique*

^b*Departamento de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad Nacional Andrés Bello – UNAB,
Santiago, Chile*

^c*Instituto de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas, Universidad Austral de Chile – UACH,
Valdivia, Chile*

E-mail: nicolasboul@gmail.com, per.anders.sundell@gmail.com,
valenzuela.u@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Using Wigner-deformed Heisenberg oscillators, we construct 3D Chern-Simons models consisting of fractional-spin fields coupled to higher-spin gravity and internal non-abelian gauge fields. The gauge algebras consist of Lorentz-tensorial Blencowe-Vasiliev higher-spin algebras and compact internal algebras intertwined by infinite-dimensional generators in lowest-weight representations of the Lorentz algebra with fractional spin. In integer or half-integer non-unitary cases, there exist truncations to $gl(\ell, \ell \pm 1)$ or $gl(\ell|\ell \pm 1)$ models. In all non-unitary cases, the internal gauge fields can be set to zero. At the semi-classical level, the fractional-spin fields are either Grassmann even or odd. The action requires the enveloping-algebra representation of the deformed oscillators, while their Fock-space representation suffices on-shell.

The project was funded in part by F.R.S.-FNRS “Ulysse” Incentive Grant for Mobility in Scientific Research.

KEYWORDS: Chern-Simons Theories, Anyons

ARXIV EPRINT: [1312.5700](https://arxiv.org/abs/1312.5700)

¹Associate researcher of the FNRS, Belgium.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Anyons and statistical gauge fields	1
1.2	Coupling of anyons to background fields	2
1.3	Outline of the paper	3
2	Preliminary remarks and summary	4
2.1	Preliminary remarks on three-dimensional higher-spin gravities	4
2.2	Outline of fractional-spin gravities	6
3	The Wigner-deformed Heisenberg oscillator algebra	12
3.1	The enveloping algebra $Aq(2;\nu)$ and its derived Lie (super)algebras	12
3.2	Representation of $Aq(2;\nu)$ in Fock space: $\check{A}q(2;\nu;\tau)$	14
3.3	Fractional-spin representations of Lorentz and AdS algebras	18
3.4	Irreducible representations of $sl(2)$ in two-sided Fock space	20
3.5	Polynomial versus Fock-space bases	20
3.6	Real forms of $Aq(2;\nu)$ and related Lie (super) algebras	22
4	Chern-Simons formulation	23
4.1	Blencowe-Vasiliev higher-spin gravity sector	23
4.2	Internal color gauge fields	24
4.3	Hybrid theory with fractional-spin fields	25
4.4	Finite-dimensional truncations at critical ν	27
4.5	Truncations of color gauge fields	28
5	Conclusions	28

1 Introduction

1.1 Anyons and statistical gauge fields

An interesting feature of quantum physics in three-dimensional spacetime is the presence of identical particles with exotic statistics. The basic notion dates all the way back to Leinaas and Myrheim [1] and later Wilczek [2], who provided specific models realizing such particles, which he referred to as Anyons, as flux-charge quanta. Subsequently, quantum field theories in flat spacetime containing Anyons were constructed in [3–5]; see also [6] for an early review. Later, a group-theory approach based on wave-functions was developed in¹ [9–12]. Later, a group-theory approach based on wave-functions was developed in [9–12]; see [13] for a summary and extensions of these models.

¹Note also that equations of motion for massless, fractional-spin particles in four-dimensional Minkowski spacetime have been studied in [7, 8]. Although this formalism is covariant under infinitesimal Lorentz transformations, the four-dimensional Poincaré symmetry is violated for finite transformations [7].

More recently, the flux-charge realization has been generalized to models with non-Abelian gauge fields [14]. Anyons can also be realized without integrating out any statistical gauge fields either as clusters of non-relativistic particles in two spatial dimensions kept together by external one-body potentials, such as a simple harmonic potential, and interacting with each other only via boundary conditions imposed on the multi-body wave functions [15], or as vertex operators in two-dimensional conformal field theories [16]. For a more recent axiomatic treatise without gauge fields, see [17].

The key idea is that at fixed time the configuration space of a collection of massive particles whose trajectories cannot coincide as the result of their interactions has a non-trivial first homotopy group that is represented non-trivially on the multi-body wave-functions or correlation functions involving point-like operators [17]. These representations thus furnish representations of the braid group, which is why Anyon statistics is synonymous to braid statistics. The wave functions transform under rotations with phase factors which can be identified with the statistical phases under exchange of identical particles. Hence, one and the same phase characterizes the statistics of the particles as well as the representation of the spatial rotation group, which is the essence of the generalized spin-statistics theorem for massive particles in three-dimensional Minkowski space with exotic statistics and fractional spin² [17]. Thus, in 2+1 dimensions, the spin of a massive particle can be an arbitrary real number, thereby providing and interpolation between bosons and fermions.

In the realization of anyons in quantum field theories, their fractional quantum numbers are typically quantum effects due to the presence of Chern-Simons fields, usually referred to as statistical gauge fields. Their realizations as charged vortices [2] and Hopf-interacting massive particles arise in effective descriptions of matter-coupled Abelian Chern-Simons systems [3]. Integrating out the statistical Chern-Simons gauge field produces effective topological non-local Hopf interactions among the matter fields that transmute their statistics; see also [5, 6, 19] and [20–22] for related works using the $CP1$ formalism. As for non-Abelian generalizations, the conformal Chern-Simons-scalar [23] and Chern-Simons-fermion [24] vector models exhibiting level-rank type dualities providing examples of three-dimensional Bose-Fermi transmutation [19]. In [23] it is suggested that these models contain Anyons at finite couplings. Moreover, as proposed by Itzhaki [14], the statistical gauge fields can be taken to be non-minimally coupled Yang-Mills fields by using Wilson lines for connections shifted by the Hodge dual of the field strength to generate the flux-charge bound states.

1.2 Coupling of anyons to background fields

On general grounds, one may ask whether Anyons can be described by any quantum-effective field theory that facilitates their coupling to ordinary tensorial and tensor-spinorial particles and fields, including gravity. In an arbitrary curved background the description of Anyons requires the introduction of a Lorentz connection valued in non-(half-)integer spin

²In the case of massless particles in three-dimensional Minkowski spacetime, for which there does not exist any notion of helicity, the statistics has been shown to instead be correlated directly to the Lorentz spin in the case of bosons and fermions [18]. To our best understanding, so far there does not exist any generalization of this result to fractional spins.

representations of the Lorentz algebra, which are infinite dimensional. As such representations admit oscillator realizations, it seems natural to incorporate them into Vasiliev's general framework for higher-spin gravity [25–27]. The aim of this paper is to take a first step³ in this direction.

Vasiliev's equations provide a fully non-linear and background-independent description of a large class of higher-spin gravities in various dimensions, including models with internal symmetry algebras [29, 30] and fermions [29–34], of which some exhibit standard spacetime supersymmetry; for a recent review in the case of four-dimensional higher-spin gravities, see [35]. As far as spin-statistics relations are concerned, with notable exceptions in the presence of a positive cosmological constant [35–37]⁴ or in Kleinian spacetime signature [35], Vasiliev's higher-spin gravities have so far been assumed to consist of fields that are either bosonic Lorentz tensors or fermionic tensor-spinors.⁵

However, our key observation is: Vasiliev's higher-spin gravities are not formulated *a priori* in terms of Lorentz tensors and tensor-spinors; rather they are formulated in terms of master fields living on products of space-time and fiber manifolds. The latter contain non-commutative twistor or twistor-like spaces whose coordinates generate the higher-spin and internal symmetry algebras. The full specification of a Vasiliev-type higher-spin gravity model thus requires the choice of a set of fiber functions that form an associative algebra. Hence, the incorporation of fractional-spin fields into the higher-spin framework can be reduced to the technical problem of in which ways Vasiliev's theory admits non-standard embeddings of the Lorentz connection leading to fractional-spin representations.

The aim of this paper is to demonstrate within a simple class of models, namely topological models of Chern-Simons type which we refer to as fractional-spin gravities, how standard tensorial higher-spin gravities can be extended by fractional-spin fields by including additional sets of fiber functions that form Lorentz representations characterized by arbitrary real-valued Lorentz spins. As we shall see, the fractional spin fields appear within a bi-module of one-forms acted upon by one-sided actions of the higher-spin algebra and an internal color gauge group of infinite rank. In doing so, a particular set of technical problems that we shall have to address concerns the nature of infinite-dimensional representations and how it is affected by different choices of bases. To this end, we are going to focus on the on-shell formulation of a class of Blencowe-Vasiliev models [40, 41] that arise within the Prokushkin-Vasiliev system [30] as a consistent truncation.

1.3 Outline of the paper

In section 2, which can be skipped at first reading, we collect further background material, general remarks on higher-spin gravities in three dimensions and how our fractional-spin

³See also the conference proceeding [28].

⁴As observed by Vasiliev, in Lorentzian signature and in the presence of a positive cosmological constant, supergravities [36] and linearized higher-spin supergravities [37] admit twisted reality conditions compatible with $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ graded quantum algebras; for a recent review and the extension to fully non-linear dS_4 higher-spin supergravities, see [35].

⁵To our best understanding, this assumption on spin and statistics is required for consistency only within the context of relativistic quantum field theories in flat spacetimes of dimension four or higher; see e.g. [38]. Extensions to curved backgrounds of the spin-statistics correspondence are given in [39] and references therein.

gravity models can be embedded into this context. We then summarize our main results, including material from a work in progress. In section 3, we then proceed with the main analysis of anyon representations in AdS_3 and their realizations using the Wigner-deformed Heisenberg oscillators. In this section we shall stress details concerning the infinite-dimensional nature of these representations and in particular the importance of keeping track of their indecomposable structures in critical limits and related choices of bases will be stressed in section 3.5. In section 4, the fractional-spin Chern-Simons theory is formulated and some of its truncations are presented. We conclude in section 5.

2 Preliminary remarks and summary

In this section we review some features of higher-spin gravity that are of conceptual interest and of importance for generalizations of our models. We then summarize our results including some material concerning mainly the off-shell formulation to be presented elsewhere. As the contents of this section are not crucial for the main analysis in the coming sections, to which the reader may therefore skip immediately if so desired.

2.1 Preliminary remarks on three-dimensional higher-spin gravities

Three-dimensional higher-spin gravity landscape. Three-dimensional topological higher-spin gravities with Lorentz-tensorial and tensor-spinorial gauge fields are described semi-classically by the Fradkin-Vasiliev-inspired Blencowe actions [40]. These theories are of Chern-Simons type and based on Lie algebras generated by ordinary Heisenberg oscillators, or equivalently, area preserving diffeomorphisms of two-spheres and two-hyperboloids [41]. As pointed out by Vasiliev [42], these algebras admit deformations based on Wigner-deformed Heisenberg oscillators [43, 44], or equivalently, algebras of symplectomorphisms of fuzzy two-hyperboloids and two-spheres.

These topological models sit inside a larger landscape of matter-coupled higher-spin gravities described by the Prokushkin-Vasiliev equations [30, 45]; see also [46]. Although their structure resembles that of the higher-dimensional Vasiliev equations [27, 47], the three-dimensional higher-spin gravities exhibits a proper feature: its dynamical Weyl zero-forms are necessarily accompanied by topological Weyl zero-forms⁶ while the corresponding sectors can be consistently truncated in four and higher dimensions.

In any dimension, there exists a special topological zero-form (which is a singlet) that can acquire an expectation value, ν say, that deforms the higher-spin symmetries. However, it is only in three dimensions that ν does not deform the anti-de Sitter vacuum.⁷ The expansion around this AdS_3 -vacuum, with its expectation value ν , yields the aforementioned Chern-Simons models based on deformed oscillators as consistent truncations (upon

⁶As pointed out to us by D. Jatkar, it is natural to think of these topological degrees of freedom in higher-spin gravity as corresponding two-dimensional conformal field theory defects.

⁷In four dimensions, the maximal finite sub-algebra of the higher-spin algebra that is preserved by ν is $so(1,3)$ or $so(2,2)$ depending on the choice of signature. This suggests that four-dimensional fractional-spin gravities correspond holographically to three-dimensional massive quantum field theories with anyons, and that these models are integrable in a suitable sense, as the higher-spin symmetries are deformed rather than broken.

setting all fluctuations in the Weyl zero-form to zero). In particular, for critical values of ν , given conventionally by $\nu = -2\ell - 1$ with $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the higher-spin algebras contain $gl(2\ell + 1)$ subalgebras [42], and the Chern-Simons models can be reduced further down to $sl(N|N \pm 1)$ and pure bosonic $sl(N)$ models studied in [41].

Prokushkin-Vasiliev system and Wigner-deformed Heisenberg oscillators. The Prokushkin-Vasiliev system consists of a connection one-form \hat{A} and matter zero-form \hat{B} living on a base manifold given locally by the direct product of a commutative spacetime \mathcal{M} and non-commutative twistor space \mathcal{Z} with a closed and central two-form \hat{J} . These master fields are valued in associative algebras consisting of functions on a fiber manifold $\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{I}$, the product of an additional twistor space \mathcal{Y} and an internal manifold \mathcal{I} whose coordinates generate a matrix algebra. The Prokushkin-Vasiliev field equations, *viz.* $\hat{d}\hat{A} + \hat{A}^2 + \hat{J}\hat{B} = 0$ and $\hat{d}\hat{B} + [\hat{A}, \hat{B}] = 0$, state that $\hat{A} = \hat{A}|_{\mathcal{M}} + \hat{A}|_{\mathcal{Z}}$ describes a flat connection on \mathcal{M} and a pair of oscillators on $\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Y}$ deformed by local as well as topological degrees of freedom contained in \hat{B} .

Working within the fully non-linear system its constructors observed that models with sufficiently elaborate internal algebra admit AdS_3 -vacuum expectation values [30]

$$\langle \hat{B} \rangle = \nu, \quad (2.1)$$

and that the perturbative expansions around these vacua yield parity-invariant three-dimensional higher-spin gravities containing massive scalars.⁸ After a suitable redefinition, the perturbatively-defined master fields become valued in associative algebras

$$\mathcal{A}(2; \nu; \mathcal{I}) = \bigoplus_{\Sigma} \mathcal{A}_{\Sigma}, \quad (2.2)$$

where \mathcal{I} refers to sets of internal generators (including the \mathbb{Z}_2 -generator Γ used to double $sl(2)$ to $sl(2)_+ \oplus sl(2)_-$), consisting of sectors \mathcal{A}_{Σ} of suitable non-polynomial extensions of the universal enveloping algebra $Aq(2; \nu)$ [42] of the Wigner-deformed Heisenberg oscillator algebra [42, 43, 48]⁹ ($\alpha = 1, 2$)

$$[q_{\alpha}, q_{\beta}] = 2i\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}(1 + \nu k), \quad \{k, q_{\alpha}\} = 0, \quad k^2 = 1. \quad (2.3)$$

Thus, as the fully non-linear formulation rests on associative differential algebras, one may ask whether these can be extended by adding sectors of composite operators and refining correspondingly the star-product composition rule as to retain associativity, thus allowing the formal structure of the full master-field equations to remain intact, with the aim of facilitating modified embeddings of the Lorentz algebra into the gauge algebra that produces perturbatively-defined field contents containing fractional-spin fields.

Indeed, as we shall outline next, this can be done in a relatively straightforward fashion by adding sectors of non-polynomial operators corresponding to Fock-space endomorphisms. These operators are given essentially by star-product versions of vacuum-to-vacuum projectors dressed by left and right multiplications by arbitrary polynomials. The extended associative star-product rules can then be defined using a matrix structure.

⁸These scalars behave as massive higher-spin fields for critical values of ν ; whether parity invariance can be broken within the Prokushkin-Vasiliev formalism remains an open issue.

⁹Blencowe's construction [40] makes use of the undeformed algebra $Aq(2; 0)_+ \oplus Aq(2; 0)_-$.

2.2 Outline of fractional-spin gravities

Matrix fusion rules. The fractional-spin gravities that we shall consider are based on \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded associative algebras that are formed by extending the enveloping algebra $Aq(2; \nu)$ by sectors of operators acting in the Fock space \mathcal{F} consisting of states with distinct eigenvalues of the spatial spin generator J_0 . More formally, we define

$$\mathcal{A}(2; \nu | \mathfrak{o}(2)_{J_0}; \mathcal{F}) := \begin{bmatrix} \overline{Aq}(2; \nu)_{++} & \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{End}(\mathcal{F}))_{+-} \\ \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{End}(\mathcal{F}))_{-+} & \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{End}(\mathcal{F}))_{--} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.4)$$

where the injective homomorphism, or monomorphism,

$$\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \quad \text{End}(\mathcal{F}) \quad \hookrightarrow \quad \overline{Aq}(2; \nu) \quad (2.5)$$

maps the space

$$\text{End}(\mathcal{F}) := \left\{ \check{E} := \sum_{m, n \geq 0} E^{mn} |m\rangle \langle n| \right\} \cong \text{Mat}_{\infty}(\mathbb{C}) \quad (2.6)$$

of endomorphisms of the Fock space

$$\mathcal{F} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C} \otimes |m\rangle, \quad (\check{N} - m)|m\rangle = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

of the undeformed Heisenberg oscillator algebra $[\check{b}^-, \check{b}^+] = 1$ with number operator

$$\check{N} := \check{b}^+ \check{b}^- \quad (2.8)$$

into a non-polynomial completion

$$\overline{Aq}(2; \nu) := \left\{ f(k; q) = \sum_{m=0, 1; n \geq 0} k^m f_{m; (n)}(q), \quad f_{m; (n)}(q) := f_{m; (n)}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} q_{(\alpha_1} \dots q_{\alpha_n)} \right\}, \quad (2.9)$$

of the enveloping algebra $Aq(2; \nu)$ of the Wigner-deformed Heisenberg oscillator algebra (2.3). The monomorphism $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}$ is defined by the rule

$$\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(|m\rangle \langle n|) = P_{m|n}, \quad (2.10)$$

where the generalized projectors $P_{m|n} \in \overline{Aq}(2; \nu)$ obey

$$P_{m|n} P_{k|l} = \delta_{nk} P_{m|l}, \quad (N_{\nu} - m) P_{m|n} = 0 = P_{m|n} (N_{\nu} - n), \quad (2.11)$$

with number operator $N_{\nu} := \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\check{N})$ related to J_0 by

$$N_{\nu} := 2J^0 - \frac{1}{2}(1 + \nu), \quad J^0 := \frac{1}{4} \{a^-, a^+\}, \quad (2.12)$$

expressed in terms of the *deformed* oscillators (a^-, a^+) obeying $[a^-, a^+] = 1 + k\nu$. The relationship [48] between deformed and undeformed oscillators (a^-, a^+) and (b^-, b^+) , respectively, is presented in subsection 3.2. In defining $\mathcal{A}(2; \nu | \mathfrak{o}(2)_{J_0}; \mathcal{F})$ we have also used

$$\overline{Aq}(2; \nu)_{\sigma\sigma'} := \Pi_{\sigma} \overline{Aq}(2; \nu) \Pi_{\sigma'}, \quad \Pi_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm k). \quad (2.13)$$

The associative composition rule in $\mathcal{A}(2; \nu | \mathfrak{o}(2)_{J^0}; \mathcal{F})$ is defined as follows: let

$$\mathbb{M}_i = \begin{bmatrix} A_i & \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\check{B}_i) \\ \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\check{C}_i) & \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\check{D}_i) \end{bmatrix}, \quad i = 1, 2, \quad (2.14)$$

be two elements in $\mathcal{A}(2; \nu | \mathfrak{o}(2)_{J^0}; \mathcal{F})$ with $A_i \in Aq(2; \nu)$ being finite polynomials and $\check{B}_i, \check{C}_i, \check{D}_i \in \text{Mat}_K(\mathbb{C}) \subset \text{End}(\mathcal{F})$ being finite matrices, that is, $\check{B}_i = \sum_{m,n=0}^K A_i^{m,n} |m\rangle \langle n|$ *idem* \check{C}_i and \check{D}_i ($i = 1, 2$). Then $\mathbb{M}_1 \mathbb{M}_2$ is defined by standard matrix multiplication followed by star-product compositions and expansions of the results in the appropriate bases. In particular, the quantity $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\check{B}_1) \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\check{C}_2) = \sum_{m,n,p=0}^K M_1^{mp} M_2^{pn} P_{m|n}$ is given by its expansion in the monomial basis of $\overline{Aq}(2; \nu)$, while $A_1 \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\check{B}_2)$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\check{C}_1) A_2$ are expanded in the matrix basis of $\text{End}(\mathcal{F})$. This composition rules is then extended to $\text{End}(\mathcal{F})$ by allowing the degree of A_i and K to be arbitrarily large. Thus, the fractional-spin algebra has a product rule that combines star-product compositions in initial bases followed by expansions of the results in a final bases, which one may refer to as a *fusion rule*. We note that in the case at hand, the fusion rule does not require any expansion of $P \in Aq(2; \nu)$ in the matrix basis of $\text{End}(\mathcal{F})$.

Hermitian conjugation. Defining the hermitian conjugation operation \dagger in $Aq(2; \nu)$ by

$$(q_\alpha)^\dagger = q_\alpha, \quad (k)^\dagger = k, \quad (2.15)$$

it follows that the Fock-space realization \check{q}_α of the deformed oscillators [48, 49] with

$$\check{k} = \varepsilon(-1)^{\check{N}} = \varepsilon \cos(\pi \check{N}), \quad (\check{N} - n)|n\rangle = 0, \quad \varepsilon^2 = 1, \quad (2.16)$$

obeys

$$(\check{q}_\alpha)^\ddagger = \check{C} \check{q}_\alpha \check{C}, \quad (2.17)$$

where \ddagger refers to the standard hermitian conjugation operation in $\text{End}(\mathcal{F})$ and the charge conjugation matrix \check{C} is given by the identity in the unitary regime $\varepsilon\nu \geq -1$ and a non-trivial matrix in the non-unitary regime $\varepsilon\nu < -1$. Assuming furthermore that $\check{C}^2 = 1$ and $(\check{C})^\dagger = \check{C}$, it follows that

$$\dagger \circ \rho_{\mathcal{F}} = \rho_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \text{Ad}_{\check{C}} \circ \ddagger = \text{Ad}_C \circ \rho_{\mathcal{F}} \circ \ddagger, \quad C := \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\check{C}), \quad (2.18)$$

or more explicitly, if $f = \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\check{f})$ then

$$f^\dagger = \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\check{C} \check{f}^\ddagger \check{C}) = C \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\check{f}^\ddagger) C. \quad (2.19)$$

Master gauge fields. Starting from a Prokushkin-Vasiliev model with $\langle \widehat{B} \rangle = \nu$ and fiber algebra

$$\mathcal{A}_\sigma := [\mathcal{A}(2; \nu | \mathfrak{o}(2)_{J^0}; \mathcal{F}) \otimes \text{Cliff}_1(\Gamma) \otimes \text{Cliff}_1(\xi)]_\sigma, \quad \sigma = \pm, \quad (2.20)$$

where $\text{Cliff}_1(\Gamma)$ and $\text{Cliff}_1(\xi)$ denote, respectively, a bosonic Clifford algebra and a fermionic Clifford algebra with respective generators obeying

$$\Gamma\Gamma = 1 = \xi\xi, \quad \epsilon_s(\Gamma, \xi) = (0, 1) \quad (2.21)$$

and where ϵ_s denotes the Grassmann parity, we may consider the consistent truncation $\widehat{B} = \nu$, leaving the flat connection

$$\mathbb{A}_\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} W & \psi_\sigma \\ \bar{\psi}_\sigma & U \end{bmatrix} \in \Omega^{[1]}(\mathcal{M}_3) \otimes \mathcal{A}_\sigma, \quad \sigma = \pm. \quad (2.22)$$

We demand the master fields to be Grassmann-even, i.e.

$$\epsilon_s(W, \psi_\pm, \bar{\psi}_\pm, U) = (0, 0, 0, 0), \quad (2.23)$$

and to have intrinsic parities

$$\sigma(W, \psi_\pm, \bar{\psi}_\pm, U) = (+1, \pm 1, \pm 1, +1), \quad (2.24)$$

where σ is defined on polynomials $f(q, k, \xi)$ of definite degrees in q^α and ξ by

$$\pi_q \pi_\xi(f) =: \sigma(f)f, \quad (2.25)$$

where we used the automorphisms

$$\pi_q(f(q, k, \xi)) := f(-q, k, \xi), \quad \pi_\xi f(q, k, \xi) := f(q, k, -\xi). \quad (2.26)$$

Taking into account the Π_\pm -projections and assigning the following Grassmann parity

$$\epsilon_s(q_\alpha) = 0 \quad (2.27)$$

it follows that (W, U) and $(\psi_-, \bar{\psi}_-)$ are ξ -independent, hence consisting of Grassmann-even component fields, while $(\psi_+, \bar{\psi}_+)$ are linear in ξ , hence consisting of Grassmann-odd component fields. We note that ψ_\pm and $\bar{\psi}_\pm$, respectively, transform under the left actions of $\overline{Aq}(2; \nu)_{++} \otimes \text{Cliff}_1(\Gamma)$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{End}(\mathcal{F}))_{--} \otimes \text{Cliff}_1(\Gamma)$ and under the right actions of $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{End}(\mathcal{F}))_{--} \otimes \text{Cliff}_1(\Gamma)$ and $\overline{Aq}(2; \nu)_{++} \otimes \text{Cliff}_1(\Gamma)$.

The reality conditions on \mathbb{A}_σ will be chosen such that W belongs to a non-compact real form of $\overline{Aq}(2; \nu)_{++} \otimes \text{Cliff}_1(\Gamma)$ containing the Lorentz generators $\Lambda^{\alpha\beta} \Pi_+ q_{(\alpha} q_{\beta)} \Pi_+$ with $(\Lambda^{\alpha\beta})^\dagger = \Lambda^{\alpha\beta}$, while $U \in u(\infty) \otimes \text{Cliff}_1(\Gamma)$. We note that for generic ν , the model may be level truncated such that

$$U \in u(K) \oplus u(K), \quad (\psi_\pm, \bar{\psi}_\pm) \in (K, \overline{K}), \quad (2.28)$$

for $K = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$, but that the more interesting truncations arise spontaneously as ν assumes critical values.

Embedding of Lorentz algebra. A standard space-time formulation of the Chern-Simons field theory requires the choice of a canonical Lorentz connection $\omega \in sl(2)_{\text{Lor}}$ associated with a principal Lorentz bundle over \mathcal{M}_3 . In general, the Lorentz algebra can be embedded into the gauge algebra in several inequivalent ways leading to physically distinct models. In particular, one has

- the diagonal embedding

$$sl(2)_{\text{Lor}} = sl(2)_{\text{diag}} := \text{span} \left\{ q_{(\alpha} q_{\beta)} \right\} , \quad (2.29)$$

which yields standard higher-spin (super)gravities consisting of Lorentz tensors (and tensor spinors);

- the alternative non-diagonal embedding

$$sl(2)_{\text{Lor}} = \Pi_+ sl(2)_{\text{diag}} = \left\{ \Pi_+ q_{(\alpha} q_{\beta)} \right\} , \quad (2.30)$$

which yields the fractional-spin (super)gravities in which the canonical Lorentz connection ω is thus embedded in W such that ψ and $\bar{\psi}$, respectively, transform in left- and right-modules with fractional Lorentz spin.

Supertrace and action. The non-polynomial completion $\overline{Aq}(2; \nu)$ of the enveloping algebra $Aq(2; \nu)$ admits the trace operation

$$\text{Tr}_\nu(f) := \text{STr}_\nu(kf) , \quad (2.31)$$

where the supertrace operation STr_ν is fixed uniquely by its defining properties

$$\text{STr}_\nu(fg) = (-1)^{\frac{1-\sigma(f)}{2}} \text{STr}_\nu(gf) = (-1)^{\frac{1-\sigma(g)}{2}} \text{STr}_\nu(gf) , \quad \text{STr}_\nu(1) := 1 , \quad (2.32)$$

where the intrinsic parity σ is defined in (2.25), i.e. $f(-q, k) = \sigma(f)f(q, k)$. Using the Weyl-ordered basis (2.9), one has [42]

$$\text{STr}_\nu(f(q, k)) = f_{0;(0)} - \nu f_{1;(0)} . \quad (2.33)$$

Upon including the Clifford algebras, we define

$$\text{Tr}(f) = \text{Tr}_\nu(f)|_{\xi=0=\Gamma} , \quad f \in \overline{Aq}(2; \nu) \otimes \text{Cliff}_1(\Gamma) \otimes \text{Cliff}_1(\xi) , \quad (2.34)$$

and equip \mathcal{A}_\pm with the trace operation

$$\text{Tr}_\mp(\mathbb{M}_\pm) = \text{Tr}(A \mp D) , \quad \mathbb{M}_\pm = \begin{bmatrix} A & B_\pm \\ C_\pm & D \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{A}_\pm , \quad (2.35)$$

where thus $\sigma(B_\pm) = \sigma(C_\pm) = \pm 1$, which obeys¹⁰

$$\text{Tr}_\pm(\mathbb{M}_{1;\mp} \mathbb{M}_{2;\mp}) = \text{Tr}_\pm(\mathbb{M}_{2;\mp} \mathbb{M}_{1;\mp}) . \quad (2.36)$$

¹⁰Expanding the zero-form $B_\mp = \sum_I B_\mp^I \Theta_I^\mp$ where Θ_I^\mp denote a basis of composite operators and B_\mp^I component zero-form fields with $\epsilon_s(\Theta_I^\mp) = \epsilon_s(B_\mp^I) = (1 \pm 1)/2$ *idem* for C_\pm , it follows from $\text{Tr}(\Theta_I^\mp \Theta_J^\mp) = \text{Tr}(\Theta_J^\mp \Theta_I^\mp)$ that $\text{Tr}_\pm(\mathbb{M}_{1;\mp} \mathbb{M}_{2;\mp}) = \text{Tr}(A_1 A_2 \pm D_1 D_2) \pm \sum_{I,J} (B_{1;\mp}^I C_{2;\mp}^J + B_{2;\mp}^I C_{1;\mp}^J) \text{Tr}(\Theta_I^\mp \Theta_J^\mp)$ is symmetric under the exchange $1 \longleftrightarrow 2$.

The Chern-Simons action

$$S[\mathbb{A}_\pm] = \int \text{Tr}_\mp \left(\frac{1}{2} \mathbb{A}_\pm d\mathbb{A}_\pm + \frac{1}{3} (\mathbb{A}_\pm)^3 \right) \quad (2.37)$$

$$= \int \text{STr}_\nu \left(\frac{1}{2} W dW + \frac{1}{3} W^3 + W \psi_\pm \bar{\psi}_\pm \right. \quad (2.38)$$

$$\left. \pm \left(\frac{1}{2} U dU + \frac{1}{3} U^3 + U \bar{\psi}_\pm \psi_\pm \right) + \frac{1}{2} (\psi_\pm d\bar{\psi}_\pm \pm \bar{\psi}_\pm d\psi_\pm) \right) |_{\Gamma=0=\xi}, \quad (2.39)$$

as can be seen using the Π_\pm projections and

$$\text{STr}_\nu(\bar{\psi}_\pm W \psi_\pm) = \pm \text{STr}_\nu(W \psi_\pm \bar{\psi}_\pm), \quad \text{STr}_\nu(\psi_\pm U \bar{\psi}_\pm) = \pm \text{STr}_\nu(U \bar{\psi}_\pm \psi_\pm). \quad (2.40)$$

On $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{End}(\mathcal{F}))$ the operation STr_ν reduces to the standard Fock-space supertrace, *viz.*

$$\text{STr}_\nu(\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\check{f})) = \text{STr}_\nu(\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(|0\rangle\langle 0|)) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} (-1)^m \langle m | \check{f} | m \rangle. \quad (2.41)$$

Thus, the level of the internal gauge algebra is proportional to the ν -dependent quantity $\text{STr}_\nu(\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(|0\rangle\langle 0|)_{--}) \equiv \text{STr}_\nu(\Pi_- P_{0|0})$.

On-shell formulation in the Fock space. The equations of motion take the form

$$\mathbb{F}_\pm := d\mathbb{A}_\pm + (\mathbb{A}_\pm)^2 = 0, \quad (2.42)$$

that is,

$$dW + W^2 + \psi_\pm \bar{\psi}_\pm = 0, \quad dU + U^2 + \bar{\psi}_\pm \psi_\pm = 0, \quad (2.43)$$

$$d\psi_\pm + W \psi_\pm + \psi_\pm U = 0, \quad d\bar{\psi}_\pm + U \bar{\psi}_\pm + \bar{\psi}_\pm W = 0. \quad (2.44)$$

Assuming that W lies in the image of $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}$, one can thus equivalently work on-shell with the Fock-space presentation of the equations of motion, *viz.*

$$d\check{W} + \check{W}^2 + \check{\psi}_\pm \check{\bar{\psi}}_\pm = 0, \quad d\check{U} + \check{U}^2 + \check{\bar{\psi}}_\pm \check{\psi}_\pm = 0, \quad (2.45)$$

$$d\check{\psi}_\pm + \check{W} \check{\psi}_\pm + \check{\psi}_\pm \check{U} = 0, \quad d\check{\bar{\psi}}_\pm + \check{U} \check{\bar{\psi}}_\pm + \check{\bar{\psi}}_\pm \check{W} = 0, \quad (2.46)$$

which we shall analyze in more detail below, though we note that the calculation of the action requires the star-product formalism.

Fractional Lorentz spin. By the construction outlined so far, and working in conventions where

$$sl(2)_{\text{diag}} = \{J^+, J^0, J^-\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2} a^+ a^+, \frac{1}{4} \{a^+, a^-\}, \frac{1}{2} a^- a^- \right\}, \quad (2.47)$$

where the deformed ladder operators a^\pm are linear combinations of q_α obeying

$$[a^-, a^+] = 1 + \nu k, \quad \{k, a^\pm\} = 0, \quad (a^\pm)^\dagger = a^\mp, \quad (2.48)$$

the Lorentz spin of $(\psi, \bar{\psi})$, say α , defined to be the lowest weight of the generator

$$J^0 = \frac{1}{2}N_\nu + \frac{1}{4}(1 + \nu), \quad (2.49)$$

is one of the roots of the quadratic Lorentz Casimir

$$C_2(sl(2)_{\text{Lor}})\psi_\pm = -\alpha(\alpha - 1)\psi_\pm, \quad \bar{\psi}_\pm C_2(sl(2)_{\text{Lor}}) = -\alpha(\alpha - 1)\bar{\psi}_\pm. \quad (2.50)$$

Taking into account $k = \varepsilon(-1)^{N_\nu}$, one has

$$\alpha = \frac{1 + \nu}{4} + \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{4}, \quad \varepsilon = \pm 1. \quad (2.51)$$

The Lorentz spin of $(\psi, \bar{\psi})$ is thus fractional and hence $(\psi, \bar{\psi})$ transform in an infinite-dimensional irreducible representation of $sl(2)_{\text{Lor}}$ except for critical values of ν . In the following, we will implicitly assume that $\varepsilon = +1$ unless explicitly mentioned otherwise.

Critical ν . For the

$$\text{critical values : } \nu = \nu_\ell := -2\ell - 1, \quad \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (2.52)$$

the deformed Wigner-Heisenberg algebra is known [42, 48, 49] to admit $(2\ell + 1)$ -dimensional irreducible representations. As we shall see in section 3.2, the algebra $Aq(2; \nu)$ can be represented in number of ways on \mathcal{F} , leading to representations $\check{A}q(2; \nu; \tau)$ whose indecomposable structures for critical ν depend on a parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$. In particular, it is possible to choose the representation matrices in accordance with the direct-sum decomposition

$$\check{A}q(2; -2\ell - 1; 0) \cong gl(2\ell + 1) \oplus \check{A}q(2; 2\ell + 1; 0), \quad (2.53)$$

where $\check{A}q(2; 2\ell + 1)$ is isomorphic to the representation of $Aq(2; -2\ell - 1)$ in \mathcal{F} on the singular vector $|2\ell + 1\rangle$. Thus, in critical limits, the indecomposable structures of $Aq(2; -2\ell - 1)_{++}$ and $Aq(2; -2\ell - 1)_{--}$ differ from those of $\check{A}q(2; -2\ell - 1; \tau)_{++}$ and $\check{A}q(2; -2\ell - 1; \tau)_{--}$, respectively, though in both cases the finite-dimensional sectors that remain after factoring out the ideals are isomorphic to $gl(2\ell + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \varepsilon))$ and $gl(2\ell + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \varepsilon))$, respectively.

Generalized $\mathfrak{h}_{1\text{-sided}}$. Finally, the fractional-spin gravity admits a natural generalization based on the Fock space \mathcal{F} , in which \check{J}^0 is diagonal, and an additional state space

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \bigoplus_{\lambda} \mathbb{C} \otimes |\lambda\rangle, \quad (\check{H} - \lambda)|\lambda\rangle = 0, \quad (2.54)$$

where \check{H} is a Hamiltonian with normalizable (bound) states. If there exists a \star -product implementation with fusion rules corresponding to

$$W \in \overline{Aq}(2; \nu)_{++}, \quad U \in \rho_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(\text{End}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})), \quad \psi \in \rho_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(\text{End}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})), \quad \bar{\psi} \in \rho_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(\text{End}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})), \quad (2.55)$$

where $\rho_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} : \text{End}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}) \rightarrow \overline{Aq}(2; \nu)$, then we propose a Chern-Simons action based on the Killing form

$$\text{STr}(\rho_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}(\sum_{\lambda, \lambda'} |\lambda\rangle\langle\lambda'| f^{\lambda\lambda'})) = \sum_{\lambda} \text{STr}(P_{\lambda|\lambda}) f^{\lambda\lambda}, \quad (2.56)$$

where $\sum_{\lambda, \lambda'} |\lambda\rangle\langle\lambda'| f^{\lambda\lambda'} \in \text{End}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}})$ and $P_{\lambda|\lambda}$ is the star-product algebra element corresponding to $|\lambda\rangle\langle\lambda|$.

3 The Wigner-deformed Heisenberg oscillator algebra

This section describes the concrete explicit realization of the fractional-spin algebras using Wigner-deformed Heisenberg oscillators.

3.1 The enveloping algebra $Aq(2; \nu)$ and its derived Lie (super)algebras

The universal enveloping algebra $Aq(2; \nu)$ of the Wigner-deformed Heisenberg oscillator algebra is the associative algebra spanned by arbitrary polynomials in the deformed oscillators q_α and the Kleinian k modulo their relations (2.3). It contains two associative subalgebras given by its subspaces $Aq(2; \nu)_{\pm\pm} = \Pi_\pm Aq(2; \nu) \Pi_\pm$, where $\Pi_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm k)$. By taking $[f_1, f_2] := f_1 f_2 - f_2 f_1$, these algebras turn into Lie algebras, which we denote by $lq(2; \nu)$ and $lq_{\pm\pm}(2; \nu)$, in their turn containing the Lie subalgebras $slq(2; \nu)$ and $slq(2; \nu)_{\pm\pm}$, respectively, obtained by factoring out $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbb{C} \otimes \Pi_\pm$. The algebra $Aq(2; \nu)$ can also be endowed with the structure of a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Lie algebra, denoted by $q(2; \nu)$, with graded commutator

$$[f_1, f_2]_\varepsilon := f_1 f_2 - (-1)^{\varepsilon(f_1)\varepsilon(f_2)} f_2 f_1, \quad (3.1)$$

with degree defined by¹¹

$$\varepsilon(k^B q_{(\alpha_1} \cdots q_{\alpha_n)}) := \frac{1}{2}(1 - (-1)^n), \quad (3.2)$$

that is, $\varepsilon(f(q, k)) = 0$ if $f(-q, k) = f(q, k)$ and $\varepsilon(f(q, k)) = 1$ if $f(-q, k) = -f(q, k)$. Factoring out the identity from $q(2; \nu)$ yields a superalgebra, which we denote by $sq(2; \nu)$. The Lie algebras $lq(2; \nu)$ and $slq(2; \nu)$ as well as their graded counter parts $q(2; \nu)$ and $sq(2; \nu)$ contain $sl(2)$ subalgebras generated by

$$J_a := \frac{i}{8}(\gamma_a)^{\alpha\beta} M_{\alpha\beta}, \quad M_{\alpha\beta} := q_{(\alpha} q_{\beta)} = \frac{1}{2}(q_\alpha q_\beta + q_\beta q_\alpha), \quad (3.3)$$

that obey

$$[J_a, J_b] = i \epsilon_{abc} J^c, \quad (3.4)$$

using conventions where the matrices $(\gamma_a)^{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon^{\beta\gamma}(\gamma_a)^\alpha_\gamma$ are normalized such that

$$\{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\} = -2\eta_{ab}, \quad \text{with} \quad \eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(-1, +1, +1), \quad \epsilon^{012} = 1, \quad (3.5)$$

and the spinor indices are raised and lowered using the conventions

$$q^\alpha = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} q_\beta, \quad q_\alpha = q^\beta \epsilon_{\beta\alpha}, \quad \epsilon^{\alpha\delta} \epsilon_{\beta\delta} = \delta^\alpha_\beta, \quad (3.6)$$

together with the realization

$$(\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \gamma_2)^\alpha_\beta = (-\sigma_2, -i\sigma_1, -i\sigma_3)^\alpha_\beta, \quad \epsilon^{12} = \epsilon_{12} = 1. \quad (3.7)$$

One has

$$J^0 = \frac{1}{4}\{a^+, a^-\}, \quad J^\pm := J_1 \pm iJ_2 = \frac{1}{2}(a^\pm)^2, \quad (3.8)$$

¹¹We use (square) curved brackets to denote strength-one (anti) symmetrization.

where we have defined

$$q_1 := a^+ + a^-, \quad q_2 := i(a^+ - a^-), \quad a^+ = \frac{1}{2}(q_1 - iq_2), \quad a^- = \frac{1}{2}(q_1 + iq_2), \quad (3.9)$$

$$[a^-, a^+] = 1 + \nu k, \quad \{k, a^\pm\} = 0, \quad k^2 = 1. \quad (3.10)$$

In the \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded case, the $sl(2)$ algebra can be extended further to $osp(2|2)$ by taking the supercharges Q_α^i ($i = 1, 2$) and $so(2)$ generator T_{12} to be given by [50]

$$Q_\alpha^i = (q_\alpha, ikq_\alpha), \quad T^{12} = -k - \nu, \quad (3.11)$$

using conventions in which $osp(\mathcal{N}|2)$ has the following graded commutation rules ($i = 1, \dots, \mathcal{N}$):¹²

$$\{Q_\alpha^i, Q_\beta^j\} = 4\delta^{ij} M_{\alpha\beta} + 4\epsilon_{\alpha\beta} T^{ij}, \quad (3.12)$$

$$[M_{\alpha\beta}, M^{\gamma\delta}] = 8i\delta_{(\alpha}^{\gamma} M_{\beta)}^{\delta)}, \quad [T_{ij}, T^{kl}] = 8i\delta_{[i}^{[k} T_{j]}^{l]}, \quad (3.13)$$

$$[M_{\alpha\beta}, Q_\gamma^i] = -4i\epsilon_{\gamma(\alpha} Q_{\beta)}^i, \quad [T^{ij}, Q_\alpha^k] = -4i\delta^{k[i} Q_{\alpha}^{j]} . \quad (3.14)$$

The quadratic Casimir operators

$$C_2(osp(\mathcal{N}|2)) := J^a J_a - \frac{i}{16} Q^{\alpha i} Q_{\alpha i} + \frac{1}{32} T^{ij} T_{ij}. \quad (3.15)$$

For $\mathcal{N} = 0, 1, 2$, the oscillator realization gives rise to one-sided representations in various left- or right-modules, as we shall discuss below, with Casimirs

$$C_2(sl(2))|_{1\text{-sided}} = \frac{1}{16}(3 + 2\nu k - \nu^2), \quad (3.16)$$

$$C_2(osp(1|2))|_{1\text{-sided}} = \frac{1}{16}(1 - \nu^2), \quad (3.17)$$

$$C_2(osp(2|2))|_{1\text{-sided}} = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

The $sl(2)$ subalgebras can be extended to $sl(2) \oplus sl(2)$ by taking translations¹³ P_a to be realized as $P_a = J_a k$. Instead, by tensoring with the bosonic Clifford algebra $\text{Cliff}_1(\Gamma)$ one can take $sl(2) \oplus sl(2) \cong sl(2) \otimes \text{Cliff}_1(\Gamma)$, with translations

$$P_a = J_a \Gamma. \quad (3.19)$$

We shall use the latter realization in the construction of the anyonic models, as Γ commutes to the projectors $\Pi_\pm = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm k)$ used to define the tensorial, fractional-spin and Lorentz-singlet representations making up the fractional-spin gravity model.

¹²The structure coefficients of $osp(\mathcal{N}|2)$ can be found using the realization $M_{\alpha\beta} = q_{(\alpha} q_{\beta)}$, $Q_\alpha^i = \xi^i q_\alpha$ and $T^{ij} = i\xi^i \xi^j$ where q_α obey (2.3) with $\nu = 0$ and ξ^i are external operators that obey $\{\xi^i, \xi^j\} = 2\delta^{ij}$.

¹³The Lorentz generators

$$L_{ab} := \epsilon_{abc} J^c, \quad J_a = -\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abc} L^{bc}, \quad [L_{ab}, L_{cd}] = i \eta_{bc} L_{ad} + 3 \text{ terms},$$

and the translation generators P^a obey the commutation relations

$$[J^a, J^b] = i \epsilon^{abc} J_c, \quad [J^a, P^b] = i \epsilon^{abc} P_c, \quad [P^a, P^b] = i L^{ab}.$$

3.2 Representation of $Aq(2; \nu)$ in Fock space: $\check{A}q(2; \nu; \tau)$

Following [48], one can represent the Wigner-deformed Heisenberg oscillator algebra (3.10) in terms of undeformed oscillators obeying

$$[b^-, b^+] = 1. \quad (3.20)$$

To this end, one represents the elements f of the oscillator algebras by operators \check{f} acting in a Fock space \mathcal{F} ,

$$\mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C} \otimes |n\rangle, \quad |n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}} (\check{b}^+)^n |0\rangle, \quad \check{b}^- |0\rangle = 0, \quad (3.21)$$

$$(\check{N} - n)|n\rangle = 0, \quad \check{N} := \check{b}^+ \check{b}^-. \quad (3.22)$$

In the Fock space, the deformed oscillators and the Klein operator can be represented by the following non-linear constructs:

$$\check{a}^+ = \left(\check{G} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\nu}{\check{N}}} \check{\Pi}_- + \check{H} \check{\Pi}_+ \right) \check{b}^+, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\check{a}^- = \check{b}^- \left(\check{G}^{-1} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\nu}{\check{N}}} \check{\Pi}_- + \check{H}^{-1} \check{\Pi}_+ \right), \quad (3.24)$$

$$\check{k} = (-1)^{\check{N}}, \quad \check{G} = G(\check{N}), \quad \check{H} = H(\check{N}), \quad (3.25)$$

where $\check{\Pi}_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(1 \pm \check{k})$ such that $\check{J}^0 \equiv \frac{1}{4}\{\check{a}^+, \check{a}^-\} = \frac{1}{2}\check{N} + \frac{1}{4}(1 + \nu)$ as in (2.49) with $\varepsilon = +1$. In particular, taking $\check{H} = 1$ and $\check{G} = (1 + \frac{\nu}{\check{N}})^{\tau}$ where $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ one has

$$\check{a}^+ = \left(1 + \frac{\nu}{\check{N}} \right)^{1/2+\tau} \check{b}^+ \check{\Pi}_+ + \check{b}^+ \check{\Pi}_-, \quad (3.26)$$

$$\check{a}^- = \left(1 + \frac{\nu}{\check{N} + 1} \right)^{1/2-\tau} \check{b}^- \check{\Pi}_- + \check{b}^- \check{\Pi}_+, \quad (3.27)$$

with formal inverse

$$\check{b}^+ = \left(1 + \frac{\nu}{\check{N}} \right)^{-1/2-\tau} \check{a}^+ \check{\Pi}_+ + \check{a}^+ \check{\Pi}_-, \quad (3.28)$$

$$\check{b}^- = \left(1 + \frac{\nu}{\check{N} + 1} \right)^{-1/2+\tau} \check{a}^- \check{\Pi}_- + \check{a}^- \check{\Pi}_+. \quad (3.29)$$

We denote the resulting representation of $Aq(2; \nu)$ in \mathcal{F} by $\check{A}q(2; \nu; \tau)$, which is thus the associative algebra consisting of arbitrary polynomials in \check{a}^{\pm} and \check{k} as given above with parameter $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

For $\nu = 0$ (and all τ) one has $\check{a}^{\pm} = \check{b}^{\pm}$ and the representation of $Aq(2; 0)$ in \mathcal{F} is unitary if one chooses the hermitian conjugation rule $(\check{b}^+)^{\dagger} = \check{b}^-$. One has the standard sesquilinear form defined by

$$(|0\rangle)^{\dagger} := \langle 0|, \quad \langle 0|0\rangle := 1. \quad (3.30)$$

Thus $(|n\rangle)^\dagger = \langle n|$ and the Klein operator is realized in the Fock space for all ν by

$$\check{k} = \sum_{n \geq 0} (-1)^n |n\rangle \langle n|. \quad (3.31)$$

For finite ν there exist hermitian conjugation operations of the form [48]

$$(\check{a}^\pm)^\dagger = \check{C}^{-1} \check{a}^\mp \check{C}, \quad (\check{k})^\dagger = \check{C}^{-1} \check{k} \check{C}, \quad (3.32)$$

such that

$$(\check{q}_\alpha)^\dagger = \check{C}^{-1} \check{q}_\alpha \check{C}, \quad (3.33)$$

where the conjugation matrix $\check{C} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{F})$ depends on ν , or rather, as we shall see, the integral part $[\nu]$. We may further require that

$$(\check{f}^\dagger)^\dagger = \check{f} \quad \text{for any } \check{f} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{F}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \check{C}^\dagger = \check{C}. \quad (3.34)$$

Imposing also

$$\check{C}|0\rangle = |0\rangle, \quad (3.35)$$

it follows that the sesquilinear form

$$\langle \xi | \check{C} | \chi \rangle \equiv (|\xi\rangle)^\dagger \check{C} | \chi \rangle, \quad (3.36)$$

is invariant under similarity transformations generated by the elements $\check{f} \in \text{End}(\mathcal{F})$ that satisfy the reality condition

$$\check{f}^\dagger = -\check{C}^{-1} \check{f} \check{C}, \quad (3.37)$$

viz.

$$\langle \tilde{\xi} | \check{C} | \tilde{\chi} \rangle = \langle \xi | \check{C} | \chi \rangle, \quad \text{where } |\tilde{\xi}\rangle = \exp(\check{f})|\xi\rangle, \quad |\tilde{\chi}\rangle = \exp(\check{f})|\chi\rangle. \quad (3.38)$$

One may further restrict

$$\check{C}^2 = 1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \tau = 0, \quad (3.39)$$

for which one has

$$\check{a}^+ = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sqrt{[n+1]_\nu} |n+1\rangle \langle n|, \quad \check{a}^- = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sqrt{[n+1]_\nu} |n\rangle \langle n+1|, \quad (3.40)$$

where

$$[n]_\nu := n + \frac{1}{2}(1 - (-1)^n)\nu. \quad (3.41)$$

One may choose a diagonal conjugation matrix

$$\check{C} = \sum_{n \geq 0} C_n |n\rangle \langle n|. \quad (3.42)$$

Factoring out the relations $\check{a}^-|0\rangle = 0 = (\check{k} - 1)|0\rangle$ yields a generalized Verma module spanned by

$$|n\rangle := (\check{a}^+)^n |0\rangle, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (3.43)$$

which are non-normalized eigenstates of \check{N} . The emergence of singular vectors, that is, states $|n\rangle$ with $n > 0$ that are annihilated by \check{a}^- , is associated with the existence of finite-dimensional representations of the Wigner-deformed Heisenberg algebra, that is, realizations of the algebra in terms of finite-dimensional matrices. Defining

$$(n| := \langle 0|(\check{a}^-)^n = \langle 0|((\check{a}^+)^n)^\dagger \check{C}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (3.44)$$

such that $(n'|n) \equiv \langle 0|(\check{a}^-)^{n'} \check{C} (\check{a}^+)^n |0\rangle$, it follows that if $|n\rangle$ is a singular vector then $(n'|n) = 0$ for all n' . As

$$(n'|n) = \delta_{n',n} [n]_\nu!, \quad [n]_\nu! := \prod_{m=1}^n [m]_\nu, \quad n', n \geq 0, \quad (3.45)$$

the following cases arise:

- I. $\nu > -1$: in this *unitary* regime, the matrix elements $(n|n) = [n]_\nu! > 0$ for all n , and hence

$$\check{C} = 1, \quad \check{a}^\pm = (\check{a}^\mp)^\dagger. \quad (3.46)$$

The representation of the deformed oscillators in \mathcal{F} is thus unitary [48, 49].

- II. $\nu = -1$: in this *hyper-critical* case, which is also unitary, one has

$$\check{C} = 1, \quad \check{a}^+|0\rangle = 0, \quad \check{a}^-|1\rangle = 0, \quad (3.47)$$

and the representation $\check{A}q(2; -1; 0)$ decomposes into

$$\check{A}q(2; -1; 0) = gl(1) \oplus \check{A}q(2; 1; 0), \quad (3.48)$$

that is, \mathcal{F} decomposes under $Aq(2; -1)$ represented as $\check{A}q(2; -1; 0)$ into a singlet $|0\rangle$ and an infinite-dimensional unitary representation of $Aq(2; 1)$ in $\bigoplus_{n \geq 1} \mathbb{C}|n\rangle$ — as shown below eq. (3.60).

- III. $\nu = -2\ell - 1$, $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$: in these *critical* cases, one has

$$\check{a}^+|2\ell\rangle = 0, \quad \check{a}^-|2\ell + 1\rangle = 0, \quad (3.49)$$

and

$$\text{sign}[(n|n)] = \begin{cases} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) & 0 \leq n \leq 2\ell, \\ 0 & n \geq 2\ell + 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.50)$$

where $\text{sign}(x) := x/|x|$ for $x \neq 0$ and $\text{sign}(0) := 0$. It follows that

$$\check{C} = \sum_n C_n |n\rangle\langle n|, \quad C_n = \begin{cases} \text{sign}[(n|n)] & 0 \leq n \leq 2\ell \\ 1 & n \geq 2\ell + 1, \end{cases} \quad (3.51)$$

and that \mathcal{F} decomposes into two irreducible representations of the deformed oscillators,

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_f \oplus \mathcal{F}_\infty, \quad \mathcal{F}_f = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{2\ell} \mathbb{C} \otimes |n\rangle, \quad \mathcal{F}_\infty = \bigoplus_{n \geq 2\ell+1} \mathbb{C} \otimes |n\rangle. \quad (3.52)$$

Indeed, the projectors

$$\mathcal{P}_f := \sum_{n=0}^{2\ell} |n\rangle\langle n|, \quad \mathcal{P}_\infty := \sum_{n=2\ell+1}^{\infty} |n\rangle\langle n|, \quad (3.53)$$

commute with $(\check{a}^\pm, \check{k})$ iff ν is critical or hyper-critical, and hence

$$\check{a}^\pm = \check{a}_f^\pm + \check{a}_\infty^\pm, \quad \check{k} = \check{k}_f + \check{k}_\infty, \quad \check{C} = \check{C}_f + \check{C}_\infty, \quad (3.54)$$

$$\check{a}_\infty^\pm := \mathcal{P}_\infty \check{a}^\pm \mathcal{P}_\infty, \quad \check{k}_\infty := \mathcal{P}_\infty \check{k} \mathcal{P}_\infty, \quad \check{C}_\infty := \mathcal{P}_\infty \check{C} \mathcal{P}_\infty, \quad (3.55)$$

obey

$$[\check{a}_\infty^-, \check{a}_\infty^+] = 1 - (2\ell + 1)\check{k}_\infty, \quad \{\check{k}_\infty, \check{a}_\infty^\pm\} = 0, \quad (3.56)$$

and the hermicity conditions

$$\check{a}_f^\mp = \check{C}_f (\check{a}_f^\pm)^\dagger \check{C}_f, \quad \check{k}_f = \check{C}_f \check{k}_f^\dagger \check{C}_f = \check{k}_f^\dagger, \quad \check{a}_\infty^\mp = (\check{a}_\infty^\pm)^\dagger, \quad \check{k}_\infty = \check{k}_\infty^\dagger. \quad (3.57)$$

In terms of the bra-ket basis, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \check{a}_f^+ &= \sum_{n=0}^{2\ell} \sqrt{[n+1]_\nu} |n+1\rangle\langle n|, & \check{a}_f^- &= \sum_{n=0}^{2\ell} \sqrt{[n+1]_\nu} |n\rangle\langle n+1|, \\ \check{k}_f &= \sum_{n=0}^{2\ell} (-1)^n |n\rangle\langle n|, & \check{C}_f &= \sum_{n=0}^{2\ell} \left(\cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) \right) |n\rangle\langle n|, \end{aligned} \quad (3.58)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \check{a}_\infty^+ &= \sum_{n \geq 2\ell+1} \sqrt{[n+1]_\nu} |n+1\rangle\langle n|, & \check{a}_\infty^- &= \sum_{n \geq 2\ell+1} \sqrt{[n+1]_\nu} |n\rangle\langle n+1|, \\ \check{k}_\infty &= \sum_{n \geq 2\ell+1} (-1)^n |n\rangle\langle n|, & \check{C}_\infty &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.59)$$

Thus, $(\check{a}_f^\pm, \check{k}_f)$ provide a finite-dimensional non-unitary representation of the Wigner-Heisenberg algebra with deformation parameter $\nu = -2\ell - 1$ whose enveloping algebra is isomorphic to $gl(2\ell + 1)$, while $(\check{a}_\infty^\pm, \check{k}_\infty)$ provide an infinite-dimensional unitary representation of the Wigner-Heisenberg algebra with deformation parameter $2\ell + 1$, as can be seen from

$$\check{k}_\infty |2\ell + 1\rangle = -|2\ell + 1\rangle, \quad (3.60)$$

which implies that the redefinition $\check{k}_\infty \rightarrow -\check{k}_\infty$ yields a representation of $Aq(2; 2\ell + 1)$ on \mathcal{F}_∞ . Thus, at critical ν one has

$$\check{A}q(2; -2\ell - 1; 0) \cong gl(2\ell + 1) \oplus \check{A}q(2; 2\ell + 1; 0). \quad (3.61)$$

IV. $\nu < -1$, $\nu \notin \{-3, -5, \dots\}$: for these non-critical values, the representation of the deformed oscillators in \mathcal{F} is irreducible and *non-unitary*, as can be seen from

$$\text{sign}[(n|n)] = \begin{cases} \cos\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) - \sin\left(\frac{n\pi}{2}\right) & 0 \leq n \leq 2\ell \\ (-1)^{\ell+1} & n \geq 2\ell + 1. \end{cases} \quad (3.62)$$

where ℓ is the positive integer defined by that $2\ell + 1$ is the supremum of odd integers less than $|\nu|$. The conjugation matrix is thus given by

$$\check{C} = \sum_{n=0} C_n |n\rangle\langle n|, \quad C_n = \text{sign}[(n|n)]. \quad (3.63)$$

3.3 Fractional-spin representations of Lorentz and AdS algebras

The representation of the Lorentz algebra (2.29) in terms of the deformed oscillators is reducible and it can be projected as in (2.30). On top of this reducible structure there may arise another one depending on the value of the deformation parameter ν . This will affect the field content in the higher-spin Chern-Simons theory presented in section 4.

From (3.40) it follows that the representation of the Lorentz generators (3.8) in the Fock space is given by,

$$\check{J}^0 = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{1+\nu}{4} \right) |n\rangle\langle n|, \quad (3.64)$$

$$\check{J}^- = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sqrt{[n+2]_\nu [n+1]_\nu} |n\rangle\langle n+2|, \quad (3.65)$$

$$\check{J}^+ = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sqrt{[n+2]_\nu [n+1]_\nu} |n+2\rangle\langle n|. \quad (3.66)$$

The quadratic Casimir operator (3.16) factorizes into

$$C_2(sp(2)|\mathcal{F}) \equiv -\check{\alpha}(\check{\alpha} - 1) \quad \text{with} \quad \check{\alpha} = \frac{1}{4}(2 + \nu - \check{k}). \quad (3.67)$$

Since the Klein operator take place in this expression, the value of the Casimir operator does not take a fixed value [42]. The Fock space thus decomposes into two invariant eigenspaces of \check{k} ,

$$\mathcal{F}_\pm = \check{\Pi}_\pm \mathcal{F} = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{C} \otimes \left| 2n + \frac{1}{2}(1 \mp 1) \right\rangle, \quad (3.68)$$

where $\check{\Pi}_\pm$ are the projectors defined in (2.13). The projected Lorentz generators and spins are given by

$$\check{J}_a^\pm := \check{\Pi}_\pm \check{J}_a, \quad \eta^{ab} \check{J}_a^\pm \check{J}_b^\pm = -j^\pm(j^\pm - 1) \check{\Pi}_\pm, \quad j^+ = \frac{1}{4}(1 + \nu), \quad j^- = \frac{1}{4}(3 + \nu). \quad (3.69)$$

The spins of the odd and even representations differ by half a unit,

$$j^- - j^+ = \frac{1}{2}, \quad (3.70)$$

ν	Irreducible subspaces	Lorentz spin
non-critical $\nu > -1$	$ 2n\rangle, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ $ 2n+1\rangle, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$	$j^+ = (1 + \nu)/4$ $j^- = (3 + \nu)/4$
critical $\nu = -(2\ell + 1),$ $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$	$\mathcal{F}_f \begin{cases} 2n\rangle, & n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \ell \\ 2n+1\rangle, & n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \ell - 1 \end{cases}$ $\mathcal{F}_\infty \begin{cases} 2n\rangle, & n = \ell + 1, \ell + 2, \dots \\ 2n+1\rangle, & n = \ell, \ell + 1, \dots \end{cases}$	$j^{(f)+} = -\ell/2$ $j^{(f)-} = 1/2 - \ell/2$ $j^{(\infty)+} = 1 + \ell/2$ $j^{(\infty)-} = 1/2 + \ell/2$
hyper critical $\nu = -1$	$\mathcal{F}_f \{ 0\rangle \},$ $\mathcal{F}_\infty \begin{cases} 2n\rangle, & n = 1, 2, \dots \\ 2n+1\rangle, & n = 0, 1, \dots \end{cases}$	$j^{(f)+} = 0$ $j^{(\infty)+} = 1$ $j^{(\infty)-} = 1/2$

Table 1. Representing $sl(2)$ in terms of Wigner-deformed Heisenberg oscillators in a standard Fock space yields reducible representations of $sl(2)$. The first column contains the values of the deformation parameter ν in the Wigner-deformed Heisenberg algebra. The second column contains the corresponding $sl(2)$ -irreducible subspaces of the Fock space. The third column contains the corresponding values of the spins j , i.e. the J^0 eigenvalue of the lowest weight state in each $sl(2)$ -irrep.

thus forming superpartners. Hence, in the non-critical case, the Fock space carries two irreducible representations of the Lorentz algebra. In the critical cases, there is a further sub-decomposition into a finite-dimensional and an infinite-dimensional irrep due to the additional projectors (3.53), viz.

$$\check{J}_a^{(f)\pm} := \mathcal{P}_f \check{\Pi}_\pm \check{J}_a, \quad \check{J}_a^{(\infty)\pm} := \mathcal{P}_\infty \check{\Pi}_\pm \check{J}_a, \quad (3.71)$$

with the spin in each irreducible sector given in the table 1. This additional reducibility is reflected in the symmetry of the Lorentz Casimir operator under $j \rightarrow 1 - j$, yielding different representations of the Lorentz algebra for which

$$j^+ - j^- = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.72)$$

Thus, for $\nu = -3, -5, -7, \dots$ one has two finite non-unitary and two infinite dimensional unitary representations of the Lorentz algebra. In the hyper-critical case $\nu = -1$, the finite dimensional subspace contains only one state, the ground state, which is invariant under the action of the full $Aq(2; -1)$ algebra represented as $\check{A}q(2; -1; 0)$. Indeed, the representation $\check{A}q(2; -1; 0)$ of the algebra $Aq(2; -1)$ is unitary since the conjugation matrix is the identity.

The classification of the unitary irreducible representations of $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$ was first done by Bargmann [51]. Comparing with the unitary irreducible representations of $sl(2)$ in Fock space by Barut and Fronsdal [52] and adapting the notation to this paper, we see that the unitary irreducible representations appearing in the non-critical case $\nu > -1$ above furnish

the discrete series $\mathcal{D}^+(j^\pm)$. For $\nu < -1$, but non-critical, these representations are still of a discrete type, but non-unitary. In reference [13] it was shown that the latter were essential for the construction of anyon wave equations possessing standard boson/fermion limits.

3.4 Irreducible representations of $sl(2)$ in two-sided Fock space

A generic operator $\check{f} \in \check{A}q(2; \nu; \tau)$ can thus be represented in ket-bra form as

$$\check{f} = \sum_{n, m \geq 0} f^{mn} |m\rangle \langle n|, \quad (3.73)$$

where the matrix $\{f^{mn}\}$ becomes block diagonal for critical ν if $\tau = 0$. The operators $|m\rangle \langle n|$ are products of harmonic oscillator states with ν -dependent spin,

$$\check{J}^0 |m\rangle = s_m |m\rangle, \quad \langle m| \check{J}^0 = \langle m| s_m, \quad s_m = \frac{m}{2} + \frac{1+\nu}{4}, \quad (3.74)$$

transforming under a 2π rotation by an anyonic statistical phase,

$$\exp(i2\pi \check{J}^0) |m\rangle = e^{i\pi(m + \frac{1+\nu}{2})} |m\rangle. \quad (3.75)$$

The tensor product $|m\rangle \langle n|$, which transforms in the adjoint representation of the rotation group generated by \check{J}^0 ,

$$[\check{J}^0, |m\rangle \langle n|] = (s_m - s_n) |m\rangle \langle n|, \quad (3.76)$$

transforms by a standard phase $+1$ or -1 , *viz.*

$$\exp(i2\pi \check{J}^0) |m\rangle \langle n| \exp(-i2\pi \check{J}^0) = (-1)^{m-n} |m\rangle \langle n|, \quad (3.77)$$

hence corresponding to bosonic or fermionic statistics.

For the alternative choice of the Lorentz generators (3.69), the ket-bra products transform as follows:

$$[\check{J}_0^\pm, |m\rangle \langle n|] = \tilde{s}_{m,n}^\pm |m\rangle \langle n|, \quad \tilde{s}_{m,n}^\pm := s_m \frac{(1 \pm (-1)^m)}{2} - s_n \frac{(1 \pm (-1)^n)}{2}. \quad (3.78)$$

It follows that if n and m have different parity then $|m\rangle \langle n|$ will transform under either the left or right action of the rotation group, and hence their spin will have a ν -dependent fractional component. This observation indicates that, in order to include particles with fractional spin into the higher-spin connection, one needs to identify the Lorentz connection, which activates the local rotation symmetry, with the generators J_a^\pm . The AdS algebra $so(2, 2) \cong sl(2) \oplus sl(2)$ is obtained by doubling the algebra as in (3.19).

3.5 Polynomial versus Fock-space bases

In order to construct the fractional-spin algebras, we start from the anyon representations¹⁴ $\check{A}q(2; \nu; \tau)$ of $Aq(2; \nu)$ in the Fock space \mathcal{F} , which have spins $\frac{1}{4}(1 + \nu)$ and $\frac{3}{4}(1 + \nu)$. As

¹⁴To our best understanding, the complete classification of all possible representations of $Aq(2; \nu)$ is an open problem. Indeed, the classification of infinite-dimensional irreducible representations of finite-dimensional Lie algebras is an active field in pure mathematics [53]. Two key differences between finite- and infinite-dimensional irreps is that the former are completely decomposable and can be labelled by the Casimir operators, while the latter, which can exhibit different branches of indecomposable structures, cannot be labelled faithfully only by Casimir operators. Additional “Langlands parameters” [53] are thus required to distinguish the infinite-dimensional irreducible representations, such as the parameter τ introduced in eqs. (3.26) and (3.27).

discussed in subsection 3.1, the actions of $Aq(2; \nu)$ on itself from the left or from the right provide faithful representations of the algebra. Moreover, as we have seen in subsection 3.2, the representation $\check{A}q(2; \nu; \tau)$ of $Aq(2; \nu)$ on \mathcal{F} is isomorphic to $\text{End}(\mathcal{F})$ for generic values of ν ; for critical values, the algebra $\check{A}q(2; \nu; \tau)$ becomes a subalgebra of $\text{End}(\mathcal{F})$ with an (in)decomposable structure determined by τ . The algebras $\check{A}q(2; \nu; \tau)$ are isomorphic to subalgebras $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\check{A}q(2; \nu; \tau))$ inside the non-polynomial completion (2.9) $\overline{A}q(2; \nu)$ of $Aq(2; \nu)$ by means of the deformed-oscillator realization of the vacuum-to-vacuum projector, in accordance with (2.10).

The Fock space \mathcal{F} , viewed as an $sl(2)$ module, decomposes into two fractional-spin representations in the discrete series [51]. In these representations the spin operator J^0 acts diagonally with real-valued eigenvalues. The Fock-space module can thus be identified with $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\check{A}q(2; \nu; \tau))$ viewed as either a left module or a right module. On the other hand, the separate left and right actions in $Aq(2; \nu)$ also give rise to $sl(2)$ modules but of a different type since the only generators of $Aq(2; \nu)$ that acts diagonally on itself from one side is the identity 1 and the Kleinian k .

To illustrate the inequivalence between $Aq(2; \nu)$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\check{A}q(2; \nu; 0))$ for critical values $\nu = -2\ell - 1$, $\ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, one may consider the $++$ -projection defined in (2.13). For this projection, one has

$$\check{A}q(2; -2\ell - 1; 0)_{++} \cong gl(\ell + 1) \oplus \check{A}q(2; 2\ell + 1; 0)_{++}, \quad (3.79)$$

in agreement with the result obtained in (3.61) (as a consequence of the existence of new projector operators (3.53) which split the Fock space into two sectors of finite and infinite dimension). On the other hand, the action of $Aq(2; -2\ell - 1)_{++}$ on itself exhibits an indecomposable structure of the form [42]

$$Aq(2; -2\ell - 1)_{++} = \frac{Aq(2; -2\ell - 1)_{++}}{Aq'(2; -2\ell - 1)_{++}} \oplus Aq'(2; -2\ell - 1)_{++}, \quad (3.80)$$

where the ideal $Aq'(2; -2\ell - 1)_{++}$ is spanned by $\Pi_+ q_{(\alpha_1} \cdots q_{\alpha_{2n})}$ with $n = \ell + 1, \ell + 2, \dots$ and the quotient

$$\frac{Aq(2; -2\ell - 1)_{++}}{Aq'(2; -2\ell - 1)_{++}} \cong gl(\ell + 1) \quad (3.81)$$

is spanned by $\Pi_+ q_{(\alpha_1} \cdots q_{\alpha_{2n})}$ with $n = 0, 1, \dots, \ell$ (modulo elements in $Aq'(2; \nu)_{++}$). Thus, the indecomposable structures of $Aq(2; -2\ell - 1)_{++}$ and $\check{A}q(2; \nu; -\frac{1}{2})_{++}$ are of different types, with $Aq(2; -2\ell - 1)_{++}$ containing a non-trivial ideal and $\check{A}q(2; -2\ell - 1; 0)_{++}$ having a block-diagonal structure. By choosing other values for τ it is possible to alter the indecomposable structure of $\check{A}q(2; \nu; \tau)_{++}$ in critical limits. In particular, for $\tau = -\frac{1}{2}$ it follows that $\check{A}q(2; -2\ell - 1; -\frac{1}{2})_{++}$ has an indecomposable structure of the same type as $Aq(2; -2\ell - 1)_{++}$, in the sense that both algebras have infinite-dimensional ideals and coset algebras given by $gl(\ell + 1)$. Note, however, that $\check{A}q(2; -2\ell - 1; -\frac{1}{2})_{++}$ and $Aq_{++}(2; -2\ell - 1)_{++}$ are not isomorphic as $sl(2)$ representations since the spin operator is diagonal in the former space but not in the latter.

In fact, the above conclusions do not change considerably if one removes the $++$ projection. In a generalization of Feigin's notation [54], we define

$$gl(\lambda; J; \tau) := \frac{\text{Env}(sl(2))}{I(\lambda)} \Big|_{\downarrow_J} \Big|_{\tau}, \quad (3.82)$$

where $I(\lambda)$ is the ideal generated by $C_2(sl(2)) + \lambda(\lambda - 1)$; $(\cdot) \downarrow_J$ indicates that the elements in (\cdot) are given in a basis where the generator $J \in sl(2)$ acts diagonally from both sides; and τ parameterizes the indecomposable structure. In particular, Feigin's original construction was performed in the basis of monomials in the generators of $sl(2)$ in which no generator J can be diagonal; we denote this particular basis by $gl(\lambda; -; -)$. With this notation, it follows that

$$Aq(2; \nu)_{\sigma\sigma} \cong gl\left(\frac{2 + \nu - \sigma}{4}; -; -\right), \quad (3.83)$$

$$\check{A}q(2; \nu; \tau)_{\sigma\sigma} \cong gl\left(\frac{2 + \nu - \sigma}{4}; \check{J}^0; \tau\right), \quad (3.84)$$

which are thus infinite-dimensional algebras for generic ν with critical limits given by semi-direct sums of a finite-dimensional and an infinite-dimensional sub-algebra with ideal structure controlled by τ . Using this notation, one can write eqs. (3.79) and (3.80) as

$$Aq(2; -2\ell - 1)_{++} \cong gl\left(\ell + 1; -; -\frac{1}{2}\right) \cong gl(\ell + 1) \oplus gl\left(-\ell; -; -\frac{1}{2}\right), \quad (3.85)$$

$$\check{A}q(2; -2\ell - 1; 0)_{++} \cong gl(\ell + 1; J_0; 0) \cong gl(\ell + 1) \oplus gl(-\ell; J_0; 0). \quad (3.86)$$

3.6 Real forms of $Aq(2; \nu)$ and related Lie (super) algebras

There are two ways to impose reality conditions on the elements of the derived Lie algebras of $Aq(2; \nu)$ using either hermitian conjugations or complex conjugations, also known as star-maps, giving rise to infinite-dimensional analogs of the real forms $gl(n; \mathbb{R})$ and $u(p, q)$ of $gl(n; \mathbb{C})$, respectively. Various such conjugations can be obtained by combining inner automorphisms $\varphi = \text{Ad}_S$ of $Aq(2; \nu)$ with the basic hermitian conjugation operation \dagger defined in (3.32) and the linear anti-automorphism τ defined by

$$\tau(f_1 f_2) := \tau(f_2) \tau(f_1), \quad \tau(\beta q_\alpha) := i \beta q_\alpha, \quad \tau(\beta | 0) := \beta \langle 0 |, \quad (3.87)$$

where $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ (and we note that $\tau(a^\pm) = a^\mp$). As for the associative algebra itself, its real forms require star-maps; the real form

$$Aq_\varphi(2; \nu; \mathbb{R}) := \{f \in Aq(2; \nu) : \varphi f^* = f\}, \quad f^* := \tau(f^\dagger). \quad (3.88)$$

Assuming that $((f^*)^*) = f$ for all f it follows that $SS^* = 1$. Assuming furthermore that $S = \tilde{S}^2$ and that $\tilde{S}\tilde{S}^* = 1$ it follows that if $f^* = \varphi(f)$ then $(\text{Ad}_{\tilde{S}}(f))^* = \text{Ad}_{\tilde{S}}(f)$, that is,

$$Aq_\varphi(2; \nu; \mathbb{R}) \cong Aq_{\text{Id}}(2; \nu; \mathbb{R}) := \{f \in Aq(2; \nu) : f^* = f\}. \quad (3.89)$$

ν	$\check{u}q(2; \nu; \tau) \cong u(C)$	$\check{hosp}(2 2; \nu; \tau) \cong u(C_+ C_-)$
$\nu \geq -1$	$u(\infty_+ + \infty_-)$	$u(\infty_+ \infty_-)$
$\nu = -(2\ell + 1),$ $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$	$u\left(\ell + \frac{1+(-1)^\ell}{2}, \ell + \frac{1-(-1)^\ell}{2}\right)$ $\oplus u(\infty'_+ + \infty'_-)$	$u\left(\ell + \frac{1+(-1)^\ell}{2} \ell + \frac{1-(-1)^\ell}{2}\right)$ $\oplus u(\infty'_+ \infty'_-)$
$-2\ell - 1 > \nu > 1 - 2\ell,$ $\ell = 1, 2, \dots$	$u(\ell, \infty), \ell = \text{even}$ $u(\infty, \ell + 1), \ell = \text{odd}$	$u(\ell \infty), \ell = \text{even}$ $u(\infty \ell + 1), \ell = \text{odd}$
$\nu = -\infty$	$u(\infty, \infty)$	$u(\infty \infty)$

Table 2. This table displays the ν -dependence of the real forms of the Lie (super)algebras $\check{u}q(2; \nu; \tau) \cong u(C)$ and $\check{hosp}(2|2; \nu; \tau) \cong u(C_+|C_-)$. In the above, $u(\eta) := u(p, q)$ if η is a diagonal matrix with p positive and q negative entries *idem* $u(\eta_1|\eta_2)$. In the first row, ∞_\pm refer to the dimensions of \mathcal{F}_\pm , and in the second row, ∞'_\pm refer to the dimensions of $\mathcal{P}_\infty\mathcal{F}_\pm$. The real forms in the graded case (second column) are in agreement with [41].

Starting from $Aq(2; \nu; \mathbb{R})$ various real forms of $slq(2; \nu; \mathbb{R})$ and $sq(2; \nu; \mathbb{R})$ can then be reached by generalizations of the Weyl unitarity trick as follows:

$$lq(2; \nu; \mathbb{R}) := \{h \in lq(2; \nu)\} \cap Aq_{\text{Id}}(2; \nu; \mathbb{R}), \quad (3.90)$$

$$uq(2; \nu) := \{h = f + ig, f, g \in lq(2; \nu) | \tau(f) = -f, \tau(g) = g\} \cap Aq_{\text{Id}}(2; \nu; \mathbb{R}) \quad (3.91)$$

$$= \{h \in lq(2; \nu) | h^\dagger = -h\}, \quad (3.92)$$

$$hosl(2|2; \nu) := \{h \in q(2; \nu)\} \cap Aq_{\text{Id}}(2; \nu; \mathbb{R}), \quad (3.93)$$

$$hosp(2|2; \nu) := \left\{h \in q(2; \nu) | h^\dagger = -i^{\deg(h)} h, \right\}. \quad (3.94)$$

In the two cases projected out by hermitian conjugation, their Fock space representations take the form

$$\check{u}q(2; \nu; \tau) := \left\{ \check{h} \in \check{l}q(2; \nu; \tau) : \check{h}^\dagger = -\check{C}\check{h}\check{C} \right\}, \quad (3.95)$$

$$\check{hosp}(2|2; \nu; \tau) := \left\{ \check{h} \in \check{q}(2; \nu; \tau) : \check{h}^\dagger = -i^{\deg(\check{h})}\check{C}\check{h}\check{C} \right\}. \quad (3.96)$$

Letting (p, q) refer to the signature of \check{C} , it follows that $\check{u}q(2; \nu; \tau)$ is equivalent to a representation of $u(p, q; J_0; \tau)$ while $\check{hosp}(2|2; \nu; \tau)$ is equivalent to a representation of the superalgebra $u(p|q; J_0; \tau)$; the list of isomorphisms is given in table 2.

4 Chern-Simons formulation

4.1 Blencowe-Vasiliev higher-spin gravity sector

The Blencowe-Vasiliev higher-spin gravity sector of the fractional-spin gravity model consists of an $lq(2; \nu) \oplus lq(2; \nu)$ -valued connection W . Its the Fock-space representation reads

$$\check{W} = \frac{1}{4i} \sum_{s=0,1} \Gamma^s \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{t=0,1} W_{s,t}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n} \check{k}^t \check{q}_{(\alpha_1} \dots \check{q}_{\alpha_n)} \equiv \frac{1}{4i} \sum_{s=0,1} \Gamma^s \sum_{p,q \geq 0} W_s^{p,q} |p\rangle \langle q|, \quad (4.1)$$

where the gauge-field components in the Fock-space basis are given in terms of those in the multi-spinorial basis via

$$W_s^{p,q} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{t=0,1} W_{s,t}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}(x) \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}{}^{t,p,q}, \quad (4.2)$$

using the Fock-space representation matrix of the higher-spin algebra defined by

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}{}^{t,p,q} := \langle q | \tilde{k}^t \tilde{q}_{(\alpha_1} \dots \tilde{q}_{\alpha_n)} | p \rangle, \quad (4.3)$$

which one may think of as a generalized Dirac matrix.

As discussed in the previous section, the connection can be subjected to reality conditions using either complex or hermitian conjugations; for definiteness let us use choose a reality condition of the latter type, namely

$$\check{W}^\dagger = -\check{C} \check{W} \check{C}, \quad (4.4)$$

where \check{C} is the charge conjugation matrix in (3.33) chosen such that (3.34) and (3.39) hold. As a result, the multi-spinorial component fields obey

$$(W_{s,t}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n})^* = (-1)^{nt} W_{s,t}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}. \quad (4.5)$$

As a consequence of (3.42), the representation matrix (4.3) obeys

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}{}^{t,q,l} = (-1)^{nt} C_l \left(\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}{}^{t,l,q} \right)^* C_q. \quad (4.6)$$

Thus, the master gauge field W obeying (4.4) is represented by a real matrix in the Fock-space basis, *viz.*

$$W_s^{p,q} = (W_s^{p,q})^*. \quad (4.7)$$

4.2 Internal color gauge fields

The fractional-spin gravity also contains an internal color gauge field U given in the bra-ket basis by

$$\check{U} = \frac{1}{4i} \sum_{s=0,1} \Gamma^s \sum_{p,q \geq 0} U_{s,q}^p \check{T}_p^q, \quad \check{T}_p^q := |q\rangle \langle p|. \quad (4.8)$$

It is taken to obey the following reality condition:

$$\check{U}^\dagger = -\check{U}, \quad (4.9)$$

such that \check{U} formally becomes an element of the $u(\infty) \oplus u(\infty)$ with $u(\infty)$ generated by \check{T}_p^q ,

$$[\check{T}_n^m, \check{T}_q^l] = i(\delta_q^m \check{T}_n^l - \delta_n^l \check{T}_q^m), \quad (\check{T}_n^m)^\dagger = \check{T}_m^n. \quad (4.10)$$

With these conventions, it follows that the internal component fields form a hermitian matrix,

$$(U_{s,p}^q)^* = U_{s,q}^p. \quad (4.11)$$

4.3 Hybrid theory with fractional-spin fields

The higher-spin gravity connection \check{W} given in (4.1) and the internal connection \check{U} given in (4.8) can be coupled non-trivially via two intertwining one-forms, that we shall denote by $(\check{\psi}, \check{\bar{\psi}})$, whose gauge symmetries exchange the higher-spin gravity and internal gauge fields.

In what follows, we present a simplified model exhibiting this feature in which the gauge fields are further projected using Π_{\pm} as follows:

$$\check{W}_{++} = \check{\Pi}_+ \check{W} \check{\Pi}_+ = \frac{1}{4i} \sum_{s=0,1} \Gamma^s \sum_{p,q \geq 0} W_s^{2p,2q} |2p\rangle \langle 2q|, \quad (4.12)$$

$$\check{U}_{--} = \check{\Pi}_- \check{U} \check{\Pi}_- = \frac{1}{4i} \sum_{s=0,1} \Gamma^s \sum_{p,q \geq 0} U_{s,2p+1}^{2q+1} |2p+1\rangle \langle 2q+1|, \quad (4.13)$$

$$\check{\psi}_{+-} = \check{\Pi}_+ \check{\psi} \check{\Pi}_- = \frac{1}{4i} \sum_{s=0,1} \Gamma^s \sum_{p,q \geq 0} \psi_s^{2p,2q+1} |2p\rangle \langle 2q+1|, \quad (4.14)$$

$$\check{\bar{\psi}}_{-+} = \check{\Pi}_- \check{\bar{\psi}} \check{\Pi}_+ = \frac{1}{4i} \sum_{s=0,1} \Gamma^s \sum_{p,q \geq 0} \bar{\psi}_{s,2q+1}^{2p} |2q+1\rangle \langle 2p|. \quad (4.15)$$

Arranging various master fields into a single two-by-two matrix

$$\check{\mathbb{A}} = \begin{bmatrix} \check{W}_{++} & \check{\psi}_{+-} \\ \check{\bar{\psi}}_{-+} & \check{U}_{--} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.16)$$

the equations of motion can be declared to be of the standard form:

$$\check{\mathbb{F}} = d\check{\mathbb{A}} + \check{\mathbb{A}} \wedge \check{\mathbb{A}} = 0, \quad (4.17)$$

that is,

$$d\check{W}_{++} + \check{W}_{++} \wedge \check{W}_{++} + \check{\psi}_{+-} \wedge \check{\bar{\psi}}_{-+} = 0, \quad (4.18)$$

$$d\check{U}_{--} + \check{U}_{--} \wedge \check{U}_{--} + \check{\bar{\psi}}_{-+} \wedge \check{\psi}_{+-} = 0, \quad (4.19)$$

$$d\check{\psi}_{+-} + \check{W}_{++} \wedge \check{\psi}_{+-} + \check{\psi}_{+-} \wedge \check{U}_{--} = 0, \quad (4.20)$$

$$d\check{\bar{\psi}}_{-+} + \check{\bar{\psi}}_{-+} \wedge \check{W}_{++} + \check{U}_{--} \wedge \check{\bar{\psi}}_{-+} = 0, \quad (4.21)$$

which form a non-trivial Cartan integrable system by virtue of the assignments that we have made so far. The equations of motion are thus symmetric under the gauge transformations

$$\check{\mathbb{A}} \rightarrow \check{\mathbb{A}}^{\check{\mathbb{G}}} = \check{\mathbb{G}}^{-1} (d + \check{\mathbb{A}}) \check{\mathbb{G}}, \quad \check{\mathbb{G}} = \exp(i\check{\mathbb{X}}), \quad \check{\mathbb{X}} := \begin{bmatrix} \check{x}_{++} & \check{x}_{+-} \\ \check{x}_{-+} & \check{x}_{--} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.22)$$

Thus, \check{W}_{++} is the connection belonging to the adjoint representation of the non-minimal bosonic higher-spin subalgebra $lq(2;\nu)_{++} \oplus lq(2;\nu)_{++}$ of $lq(2;\nu) \oplus lq(2;\nu)$; it consists of all integer spins and has the Fock-space representation

$$\check{W}_{++} = \frac{1}{4i} \sum_{s=0,1} \Gamma^s \sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{p,q \geq 0} W_{s,0}^{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2n}} \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{2n}}^{0,2p,2q} |2p\rangle \langle 2q|. \quad (4.23)$$

The internal gauge field \check{U}_{--} belongs to the adjoint representation of $u_{--}(\infty) \oplus u_{--}(\infty)$ where $u_{--}(\infty) := \check{\Pi}_{-}u(\infty)\check{\Pi}_{-}$. The intertwining fields $\check{\psi}_{+-}$ and $\check{\bar{\psi}}_{-+}$ belong to bi-fundamental representations transforming on one side under the higher-spin algebra and on the other side under the internal color gauge algebra. Thus, the master connection $\check{\mathbb{A}}$ belongs to a hybrid higher-spin algebra, which we refer to as a fractional-spin algebra, consisting of a sector of ordinary higher-spin generators related to space-time symmetries glued to an internal sector of compact generators via a set of intertwining generators belonging to a bi-module.

The action of the global rotation $\check{\mathbb{R}}_{2\pi}$ by 2π generated by $\check{x}_{++} = 2\pi \check{\Pi}_{+} \check{J}^0$ on the fields is given by

$$(\check{\mathbb{R}}_{2\pi})^{-1} \check{\mathbb{A}} \check{\mathbb{R}}_{2\pi} = \begin{bmatrix} \check{W}_{++} & e^{-i\pi \frac{1+\nu}{2}} \check{\psi}_{+-} \\ e^{i\pi \frac{1+\nu}{2}} \check{\bar{\psi}}_{-+} & \check{U}_{--} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.24)$$

from which it follows that in the semi-classical theory $(\check{\bar{\psi}}, \check{\psi})$ have fractional statistical phases $e^{\mp i\pi \frac{1+\nu}{2}}$, whereas \check{W}_{++} and \check{U}_{--} have bosonic ones. Thus, the spins and the Grassmann statistics of $(\check{\bar{\psi}}, \check{\psi})$ are not correlated in the semi-classical theory for generic values of ν . Observe that for critical values, $\nu = -2\ell - 1$, the semi-classical statistical phases take the values

$$\left\{ e^{\mp i\pi \ell} : \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots \right\} = \{1, -1, 1, -1, \dots\}, \quad (4.25)$$

such that the spins and the Grassmann statistics of $(\check{\bar{\psi}}, \check{\psi})$ are correlated in the semi-classical limit for even and odd ℓ , respectively, in the case of Grassmann even and Grassmann odd fields, in agreement with discussion around (2.27).

The master connection obeys the following reality condition:

$$\check{\mathbb{A}}^\dagger = -\check{\mathbf{C}} \check{\mathbb{A}} \check{\mathbf{C}}, \quad \check{\mathbf{C}} := \begin{pmatrix} \check{C}_{++} & 0 \\ 0 & \check{\Pi}_{-} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.26)$$

where $\check{C}_{++} = \check{\Pi}_{+} \check{C} \check{\Pi}_{+}$ whose Fock-space representation is given by

$$\check{C}_{++} := \check{\Pi}_{+} \check{C} \check{\Pi}_{+} = \sum_{q \geq 0} C_{2q} |2q\rangle \langle 2q|. \quad (4.27)$$

and $\check{\Pi}_{-} = \sum_{q \geq 0} |2q+1\rangle \langle 2q+1|$.

As discussed in section 3.5, the key issue is the choice of bases used to expand the various gauge fields. Strictly speaking, the fractional-spin gravity model for which we have an off-shell formulation, is based on a master field

$$\check{\mathbb{A}} \in \left[\begin{array}{cc} l_{q++}(2; \nu; \mathbb{R}) & \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Bi}(l_{q++}(2; \nu; \mathbb{R})|u_{--}(\infty))) \\ \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\overline{\text{Bi}}(u_{--}(\infty)|l_{q++}(2; \nu; \mathbb{R}))) & \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(u_{--}(\infty)) \end{array} \right] \otimes \text{Cliff}_1(\Gamma), \quad (4.28)$$

where $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}$ denotes a morphism from $\text{End}(\mathcal{F})$ to the oscillator algebra and $\text{Bi}(a|b)$ denotes a bi-module with a left action of a and a right action of b . The higher-spin connection is thus expanded in multi-spinorial basis, in which only the trivial element has a diagonal

one-sided action, while the internal gauge field and the intertwiners are expanded in the Fock-space basis, in which the spin operator \check{J}^0 has diagonal one-sided actions. The role of the map $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}$ is to realize the latter basis elements as elements of the oscillator algebra rather than $\text{End}(\mathcal{F})$ as to make sense of the source term $\psi\bar{\psi}$ in the equation for W_{++} .

As far as the on-shell formulation is concerned, it follows from eqs. (3.86) and (3.85), that undoing of the map $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}$ by mapping \mathbb{A} to its representation $\check{\mathbb{A}}$ in \mathcal{F} yields a model that is equivalent to the original one only for non-critical ν . However, as outlined in section 2.2, the preference for the former model, formulated in terms of \mathbb{A} rather than $\check{\mathbb{A}}$, stems from the fact that the construction of the standard Chern-Simons action (2.37) requires the introduction of a bi-linear form (2.35) on the fractional-spin algebra. This bi-linear form is based on the trace operation (2.31) in its turn based on the supertrace operation (2.33) whose implementation is straightforward once all objects have been mapped to the star-product algebra¹⁵

4.4 Finite-dimensional truncations at critical ν

In account of the discussion surrounding eq. (3.61), for critical values of $\nu = -2\ell - 1$, the algebra $Aq(2; \nu) \oplus Aq(2; \nu)$ possesses an additional decomposable structure in finite and infinite dimensional subsectors, so that in those cases the connection splits into

$$\check{\mathbb{A}} = \check{\mathbb{A}}_f + \check{\mathbb{A}}_\infty, \quad \check{\mathbb{A}}_f := \mathcal{P}_f \check{\mathbb{A}} \check{\mathcal{P}}_f, \quad \check{\mathbb{A}}_\infty := \mathcal{P}_\infty \check{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{P}_\infty, \quad (4.29)$$

where, in the notation of eq. (3.86), one has

$$\check{\mathbb{A}}_f \in gl(2\ell + 1) \oplus gl(2\ell + 1), \quad \check{\mathbb{A}}_\infty \in gl(-\ell; J_0; 0) \oplus gl(-\ell; J_0; 0). \quad (4.30)$$

With our election of the representation of the oscillators generators (3.40), other projections vanish, i.e.

$$\mathcal{P}_f \check{\mathbb{A}} \mathcal{P}_\infty = 0. \quad (4.31)$$

The basis element of the subsectors \mathbb{A}_f and \mathbb{A}_∞ are given respectively by

$$gl(2\ell + 1)|_{2\ell+1} \oplus gl(2\ell + 1)|_{2\ell+1} = \{\Gamma^s k^t \mathcal{P}_f q_{(\alpha_1} \cdots q_{\alpha_n)} \mathcal{P}_f, : s, t = 0, 1; n = 0, \dots, 2\ell\},$$

$$Aq(2; 2\ell + 1)|_{\mathcal{F}} \oplus Aq(2; 2\ell + 1)|_{\mathcal{F}} = \{\Gamma^s k^t \mathcal{P}_\infty q_{(\alpha_1} \cdots q_{\alpha_n)} \mathcal{P}_\infty, : s, t = 0, 1; n = 0, \dots\}.$$

One can verify that the associative algebra spanned by $k^t \mathcal{P}_f q_{(\alpha_1} \cdots q_{\alpha_n)} \mathcal{P}_f$ with $n = 0, \dots, 2\ell; t = 0, 1$, is isomorphic [42] to $\text{Mat}_{2\ell+1}(\mathbb{C})$ by counting the number of independent generators considering the identity

$$\mathcal{P}_f q_{(\alpha_1} \cdots q_{\alpha_{2\ell})} \mathcal{P}_f \equiv k \mathcal{P}_f q_{(\alpha_1} \cdots q_{\alpha_{2\ell})} \mathcal{P}_f \Leftrightarrow \Pi_- \mathcal{P}_f q_{(\alpha_1} \cdots q_{\alpha_{2\ell})} \mathcal{P}_f = 0. \quad (4.32)$$

In this way, the hybrid model 4.3 constructed in Fock space, including the correspondent reality conditions (4.26), thus decomposes into a finite-dimensional and an infinite-dimensional model¹⁶

$$\check{\mathbb{F}}_f = d\check{\mathbb{A}}_f + \check{\mathbb{A}}_f \wedge \check{\mathbb{A}}_f = 0, \quad \check{\mathbb{F}}_\infty = d\check{\mathbb{A}}_\infty + \check{\mathbb{A}}_\infty \wedge \check{\mathbb{A}}_\infty = 0, \quad \check{\mathbb{A}}_f \wedge \check{\mathbb{A}}_\infty = \check{\mathbb{A}}_\infty \wedge \check{\mathbb{A}}_f = 0, \quad (4.33)$$

¹⁵Alternatively, it would be interesting to investigate whether it is possible to start from an implementation of the supertrace operation in $\text{End}(\mathcal{F})$ and seek a scheme for regularizing the supertraces of the multi-spinorial generators of $lq(2; \nu)$.

¹⁶Thus, these equations for \mathbb{A} decompose in a different way, such that \mathbb{A}_f sources \mathbb{A}_∞ .

for the corresponding algebras (4.30); that is

$$\check{\mathbb{A}}_f \in \left[\begin{array}{cc} gl(\ell+1, \mathbb{R}) & \text{Bi}(\ell+1 \otimes \bar{\ell}) \\ \overline{\text{Bi}}(\ell \otimes \ell+1) & u(\ell) \end{array} \right] \otimes \text{Cliff}_1(\Gamma), \quad (4.34)$$

and

$$\check{\mathbb{A}}_\infty \in \left[\begin{array}{cc} gl(-\ell; J_0; 0) & \text{Bi}((-\ell; J_0; 0) \otimes \infty) \\ \overline{\text{Bi}}(\infty \otimes (-\ell; J_0; 0)) & u(\infty) \end{array} \right] \otimes \text{Cliff}_1(\Gamma), \quad (4.35)$$

where $\text{Bi}(v \otimes w)$ denotes a bi-module consisting of a left-module v and a right-module w .

4.5 Truncations of color gauge fields

To begin with, for any ν and $N \in \mathbb{N}$, it is possible to choose $u(N)$ subalgebras of $\text{End}(\mathcal{F})$ and truncate $U \in u(N)$ and simultaneously take ψ and $\bar{\psi}$, respectively, to transform in \bar{N} and N . For any given N , there exists an infinite number of such level truncations.

Another type of truncation of the color gauge fields is possible in the non-unitary regime $\nu < -1$. Here one notes that if $\check{\psi} = |\sigma\rangle\langle c|$, where thus σ is a spin and c is a color, then $\check{\bar{\psi}} = -|c\rangle\langle \sigma|$ and hence $\check{\psi}\check{\bar{\psi}} = |\sigma\rangle\langle \sigma|C$ while $\check{\bar{\psi}}\check{\psi} = |\sigma\rangle\langle c|C|c\rangle\langle \sigma|$ that can vanish in the non-unitary regime. Thus, the fractional-spin fields necessarily source the tensor-spinorial higher-spin gravity field W (cf. positivity of energy in ordinary gravity) while the internal gauge field \check{U} can be truncated consistently leading to

$$d\check{W} + \check{W}^2 + \check{\psi}\check{\bar{\psi}} = 0, \quad d\check{\psi} + \check{W}\check{\psi} = 0, \quad d\check{\bar{\psi}} + \check{\bar{\psi}}\check{W} = 0, \quad \check{\bar{\psi}}\check{\psi} = 0, \quad (4.36)$$

which defines a quasi-free differential algebra. Thus, the last constraint above possesses non-trivial solutions owing the non-definite signature of the invariant conjugation matrix of the representation of the higher spin algebra carried by the fractional spin fields, while $\check{\bar{\psi}}\check{\psi} = 0$ does not have non-trivial solutions.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented a new class of three-dimensional Chern-Simons higher-spin gravities that we refer to as fractional-spin gravities. These theories are extensions of ordinary Blencowe-Vasiliev [40, 42] higher-spin gravities and Chern-Simons gauge theories by bi-fundamental one-forms valued in direct products of fundamental representations the higher-spin algebras and the internal compact gauge algebras. In effect, the fractional-spin models have been obtained by a non-standard embedding of the Lorentz algebra into an original enlarged Blencowe-Vasiliev model; in this sense one may interpret the fractional-spin gravities as describing new vacuum sectors of the Blencowe-Vasiliev theory, as we shall comment on more below.

The fundamental representations of the higher-spin algebras are infinite-dimensional and characterized by a deformation parameter $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$: for non-critical ν they remain irreducible under the Lorentz sub-algebra with spin $\frac{1}{4}(1 + \nu)$; for critical $\nu = -1, -3, \dots$ they decompose into a finite-dimensional tensor or tensor-spinor and an infinite-dimensional representation with spin $-\nu$. The color indices, on the other hand, can be chosen to

be finite-dimensional by level truncation, and if the fractional-spin representation is non-unitary, that is, if $\nu < -1$ then the internal gauge fields can be truncated; the theory then consists only of the higher-spin gravity fields and the fractional-spin fields.

Denoting the Blencowe-Vasiliev connection by W , which thus consists of a collection of Lorentz-tensorial gauge fields making up the adjoint representation of the higher-spin algebra, and the fractional-spin fields and internal connection by $(\psi, \bar{\psi})$ and U , respectively, we have proposed to describe the fractional-spin gravities on-shell using the following integrable system of equations:

$$dW + W^2 + \psi\bar{\psi} = 0, \quad d\psi + W\psi + \psi U = 0, \quad (5.1)$$

$$d\bar{\psi} + \bar{\psi}W + U\bar{\psi} = 0, \quad dU + UU + \bar{\psi}\psi = 0, \quad (5.2)$$

or more concisely, as

$$d\mathbb{A} + \mathbb{A}\mathbb{A} = 0, \quad \mathbb{A} = \begin{bmatrix} W & \psi \\ \bar{\psi} & U \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5.3)$$

The underlying fractional-spin algebra carries a \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading similar to that of ordinary superalgebras: the fractional-spin generators close onto higher-spin and internal generators, while the higher-spin and internal generators rotate the fractional-spin charges into themselves. Thus, the fractional-spin fields transform under one-sided actions of the higher-spin and internal Lie, and the fractional-spin transformations can send higher-spin gauge fields into internal gauge fields and vice versa.

We would like to stress that the simple appearance of the construction is due to the fact that it relies on the consistent fusion of two sectors of the enveloping algebra of the Wigner-Heisenberg deformed oscillators: the sector of arbitrary polynomials in deformed oscillators can be combined with the sector of Fock-space endomorphisms into an associative algebra by realizing the latter as elements of the enveloping algebra. In this paper, we have demonstrated this algebraic structure at the level of Fock-space representations, which are sufficient for the on-shell formulation. The off-shell formulation requires, however, the implementation using enveloping algebra techniques, as to realize the bi-linear form going into the definition of the Chern-Simons action; we leave a more detailed description of the off-shell formulation as well as the construction of non-topological fractional-spin models for forthcoming works.

In terms of $sl(2)$ representation theory, the fractional-spin representations belong to the discrete series [51] which are lowest-weight representations in the compact basis, labeled by the lowest eigenvalue of the spatial rotation generator J^0 of $so(2,1) \cong sl(2)$. Generic values of the lowest spin imply irreducibility, while negative integer or negative half-integer lowest spins, respectively, imply decomposability with finite-dimensional invariant tensor or tensor-spinorial subspaces. Hence, finite-dimensional higher-spin models can be singled out; by combining various reality conditions and working with fractional-spin fields that are either bosons or fermions one may arrive at models based on $sl(N)$, $su(p,q)$ or $su(p|q)$.

The fact that the fractional-spin fields $(\psi, \bar{\psi})$ are constructed from tensor-spinor higher-spin fields by a change of basis, can be interpreted as that the latter condense into the former

in a new vacuum of the Prokushkin-Vasiliev system where color interactions emerge. This phenomena is reminiscent of how new phases can be reached in strongly correlated systems by means of large gauge transformation, as for example in the confined phase of QCD according to t’Hooft’s mechanism [55]. It is thus inspiring to entertain the idea that the new vacua of Blencowe-Vasiliev theory studied arise in a similar fashion, namely, via a large gauge transformation of the Blencowe-Vasiliev vacuum formed by tensor and tensor-spinor fields. This physical picture also resembles the fractional quantum Hall effect [2, 56–59] where many-electron systems exposed to strong magnetic fields become confined giving rise to quasi-particle anyons.

As mentioned already, anyons can be obtained in the form of a Wilson line coming from infinite and attached in its extreme to a charged particle [14], yielding the transmutation to braided statics. Although we have not discussed these aspects in this paper, it suggests by analogy that we may be in a similar picture, namely that the fractional spin fields should correspond to Wilson lines attached to the AdS boundary and to some higher spin particles with usual boson or fermion statistics, although in the present states of our theory the latter particles must also be located at the boundary, as it happens in Chern-Simons theory where the dynamical degrees of freedom are confined to the boundary.

It is worth to mention that open higher-spin Wilson-lines have been analyzed recently [60, 61] and their insertions have been argued to be dual to sending the dual conformal field theory to phases with finite entanglement entropies. One problem that one can investigate, starting from our model, is a particular type of classical solutions in fractional-spin gravity that may have an interpretation as entanglement entropy. Along the same lines, a suitable approach could be suggested by the considerations made in the work [62].

Acknowledgments

We thank Fabien Buisseret, Andrea Campoleoni, Alejandra Castro, Johan Engquist, Matthias Gaberdiel, Dileep Jatkar, Soo-Jung Rey, Kostas Siampos and Philippe Spindel for discussions. The work of N.B. was supported in part by an ARC contract n° AUWB-2010-10/15-UMONS-1. M.V. is supported by FONDECYT postdoctoral grant n° 3120103. P.S. and M.V. acknowledge the support of the F.R.S.-FNRS “Ulysse” Incentive Grant for Mobility in Scientific Research during the first stage of this work, which was carried out at the Service de Mécanique et Gravitation at UMONS.

Open Access. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License ([CC-BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)), which permits any use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author(s) and source are credited.

References

- [1] J. Leinaas and J. Myrheim, *On the theory of identical particles*, *Nuovo Cim.* **B 37** (1977) 1 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [2] F. Wilczek, *Quantum Mechanics of Fractional Spin Particles*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **49** (1982) 957 [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [3] G.W. Semenoff, *Canonical Quantum Field Theory with Exotic Statistics*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61** (1988) 517 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [4] J. Fröhlich and P. Marchetti, *Quantum field theory of anyons*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **16** (1988) 347 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [5] S. Forte and T. Jolicoeur, *Relativistic quantum field theory with fractional spin and statistics*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **350** (1991) 589 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [6] S. Forte, *Quantum mechanics and field theory with fractional spin and statistics*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **64** (1992) 193 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [7] S.M. Klishevich, M.S. Plyushchay and M. Rausch de Traubenberg, *Fractional helicity, Lorentz symmetry breaking, compactification and anyons*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **616** (2001) 419 [[hep-th/0101190](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [8] D.V. Volkov, *Quartions in Relativistic Field Theory*, *JETP Lett.* **49** (1989) 541 [*Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **49** (1989) 473] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [9] R. Jackiw and V. Nair, *Relativistic wave equations for anyons*, *Phys. Rev. D* **43** (1991) 1933 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [10] M. Plyushchay, *Fractional spin: Majorana-Dirac field*, *Phys. Lett. B* **273** (1991) 250 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [11] J. Cortes and M. Plyushchay, *Linear differential equations for a fractional spin field*, *J. Math. Phys.* **35** (1994) 6049 [[hep-th/9405193](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [12] M.S. Plyushchay, *R deformed Heisenberg algebra, anyons and $d = (2 + 1)$ supersymmetry*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **12** (1997) 1153 [[hep-th/9705034](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [13] P.A. Horvathy, M.S. Plyushchay and M. Valenzuela, *Bosons, fermions and anyons in the plane, and supersymmetry*, *Annals Phys.* **325** (2010) 1931 [[arXiv:1001.0274](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [14] N. Itzhaki, *Anyons, 't Hooft loops and a generalized connection in three-dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **67** (2003) 065008 [[hep-th/0211140](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [15] J. Engquist, *Anyons, Deformed Oscillator Algebras and Projectors*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **816** (2009) 356 [[arXiv:0809.3226](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [16] D.P. Jatkar and S. Rao, *Anyons and Gaussian conformal field theories*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **6** (1991) 289 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [17] J. Mund, *The Spin-Statistics Theorem for Anyons and Plektions in $d = 2 + 1$* , *Commun. Math. Phys.* **286** (2009) 1159 [[arXiv:0801.3621](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [18] S. Deser and R. Jackiw, *Statistics without spin: massless $D = 3$ systems*, *Phys. Lett. B* **263** (1991) 431 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [19] A.M. Polyakov, *Fermi-Bose Transmutations Induced by Gauge Fields*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **3** (1988) 325 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [20] Y.-S. Wu and A. Zee, *Comments on the Hopf Lagrangian and Fractional Statistics of Solitons*, *Phys. Lett. B* **147** (1984) 325 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [21] X. Wen and A. Zee, *Quantum Disorder, Duality and Fractional Statistics in $(2 + 1)$ -dimensions*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62** (1989) 1937 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [22] T. Govindarajan, R. Shankar, N. Shaji and M. Sivakumar, *Is the $O(3)$ σ -model with the Hopf term exactly equivalent to a higher spin theory?*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69** (1992) 721 [[hep-th/9203013](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [23] O. Aharony, G. Gur-Ari and R. Yacoby, *Correlation Functions of Large- N Chern-Simons-Matter Theories and Bosonization in Three Dimensions*, *JHEP* **12** (2012) 028 [[arXiv:1207.4593](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [24] S. Giombi et al., *Chern-Simons Theory with Vector Fermion Matter*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **72** (2012) 2112 [[arXiv:1110.4386](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [25] M.A. Vasiliev, *More on equations of motion for interacting massless fields of all spins in $(3+1)$ -dimensions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **285** (1992) 225 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [26] M.A. Vasiliev, *Higher spin gauge theories: Star product and AdS space*, [hep-th/9910096](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [27] M. Vasiliev, *Nonlinear equations for symmetric massless higher spin fields in $(A)dS(d)$* , *Phys. Lett. B* **567** (2003) 139 [[hep-th/0304049](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [28] N. Boulanger, P. Sundell and M. Valenzuela, *A Higher-Spin Chern-Simons Theory of Anyons*, [arXiv:1311.4589](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [29] S. Konstein and M.A. Vasiliev, *Extended Higher Spin Superalgebras and Their Massless Representations*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **331** (1990) 475 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [30] S. Prokushkin and M.A. Vasiliev, *Higher spin gauge interactions for massive matter fields in $3 - D$ AdS space-time*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **545** (1999) 385 [[hep-th/9806236](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [31] S. Konstein and M.A. Vasiliev, *Massless Representations and Admissibility Condition for Higher Spin Superalgebras*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **312** (1989) 402 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [32] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, *Higher spin $N = 8$ supergravity*, *JHEP* **11** (1998) 016 [[hep-th/9805125](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [33] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, *Towards massless higher spin extension of $D = 5$, $N = 8$ gauged supergravity*, *JHEP* **09** (2001) 025 [[hep-th/0107186](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [34] M. Vasiliev, *Higher spin superalgebras in any dimension and their representations*, *JHEP* **12** (2004) 046 [[hep-th/0404124](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [35] E. Sezgin and P. Sundell, *Supersymmetric Higher Spin Theories*, *J. Phys. A* **46** (2013) 214022 [[arXiv:1208.6019](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [36] M.A. Vasiliev, *de Sitter Supergravity With Positive Cosmological Constant and Generalized Lie Superalgebras*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2** (1985) 645 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [37] M.A. Vasiliev, *Free massless fields of arbitrary spin in the de Sitter space and initial data for a higher spin superalgebra*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **35** (1987) 741 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [38] S. Weinberg, *The quantum theory of fields. Vol. 2: Modern applications*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge U.K. (1996).
- [39] R. Verch, *A spin statistics theorem for quantum fields on curved space-time manifolds in a generally covariant framework*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **223** (2001) 261 [[math-ph/0102035](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [40] M. Blencowe, *A Consistent Interacting Massless Higher Spin Field Theory in $D = (2 + 1)$* , *Class. Quant. Grav.* **6** (1989) 443 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [41] E. Bergshoeff, M. Blencowe and K. Stelle, *Area preserving diffeomorphisms and higher spin algebra*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **128** (1990) 213 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [42] M.A. Vasiliev, *Higher spin algebras and quantization on the sphere and hyperboloid*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **6** (1991) 1115 [[INSPIRE](#)].

- [43] E. P. Wigner, *Do the Equations of Motion Determine the Quantum Mechanical Commutation Relations?*, *Phys. Rev.* **77** (1950) 711.
- [44] L.M. Yang, *A Note on the Quantum Rule of the Harmonic Oscillator*, *Phys. Rev.* **84** (1951) 788.
- [45] M.A. Vasiliev, *Higher spin matter interactions in $(2+1)$ -dimensions*, [hep-th/9607135](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [46] A. Barabanshchikov, S. Prokushkin and M.A. Vasiliev, *Free equations for massive matter fields in $(2+1)$ -dimensional anti-de Sitter space from deformed oscillator algebra*, *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **110N3** (1997) 372 [[hep-th/9609034](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [47] M.A. Vasiliev, *Consistent equation for interacting gauge fields of all spins in $(3+1)$ -dimensions*, *Phys. Lett. B* **243** (1990) 378 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [48] M.S. Plyushchay, *R deformed Heisenberg algebra*, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **11** (1996) 2953 [[hep-th/9701065](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [49] M.S. Plyushchay, *Deformed Heisenberg algebra with reflection*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **491** (1997) 619 [[hep-th/9701091](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [50] E. Bergshoeff, B. de Wit and M.A. Vasiliev, *The structure of the superW(infinity) (lambda) algebra*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **366** (1991) 315 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [51] V. Bargmann, *Irreducible unitary representations of the Lorentz group*, *Annals Math.* **48** (1947) 568.
- [52] A.O. Barut and C. Fronsdal, *On non-compact groups, ii. Representations of the 2+1 lorentz group*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. London A* **287** (1965) 532.
- [53] A.W. Knap, *Representation Theory of Semisimple Groups: An Overview Based on Examples, Landmarks in Mathematics and Physics*, Princeton University Press, Princeton U.S.A. (2001).
- [54] B. Feigin, *The Lie algebras $\mathfrak{gl}(\lambda)$ and cohomologies of Lie algebras of differential operators*, *Russ. Math. Surv.* **43** (1988) 169.
- [55] G. 't Hooft, *On the Phase Transition Towards Permanent Quark Confinement*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **138** (1978) 1 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [56] R. Laughlin, *Anomalous quantum Hall effect: an incompressible quantum fluid with fractionally charged excitations*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **50** (1983) 1395 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [57] B. Halperin, *Statistics of quasiparticles and the hierarchy of fractional quantized Hall states*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **52** (1984) 1583 [Erratum *ibid.* **52** (1984) 2390] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [58] R. de Picciotto et al., *Direct observation of a fractional charge*, *Nature* **389** (1997) 162 [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [59] A.P. Polychronakos, *Quantum Hall states as matrix Chern-Simons theory*, *JHEP* **04** (2001) 011 [[hep-th/0103013](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [60] M. Ammon, A. Castro and N. Iqbal, *Wilson Lines and Entanglement Entropy in Higher Spin Gravity*, *JHEP* **10** (2013) 110 [[arXiv:1306.4338](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [61] J. de Boer and J.I. Jottar, *Entanglement Entropy and Higher Spin Holography in AdS_3* , [arXiv:1306.4347](#) [[INSPIRE](#)].
- [62] G. Compère, J.I. Jottar and W. Song, *Observables and microscopic entropy of higher spin black holes*, *JHEP* **11** (2013) 054 [[arXiv:1308.2175](#)] [[INSPIRE](#)].